DAMTP-2015-65
MCTP-15-18

Dualities in 3D Large N Vector Models

Nouman Muteeb[a], Leopoldo A. Pando Zayas[b] and Fernando Quevedo[c]

[a,b,c] *The Abdus Salam International Centre for Theoretical Physics, ICTP*
Strada Costiera 11, 34014 Trieste, Italy

[a] *SISSA, Via Bonomea 265, 34136 Trieste, Italy*

[b] *Michigan Center for Theoretical Physics, Department of Physics*
University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI 48109, USA

[c] *DAMTP, CMS, University of Cambridge*
Wilberforce Road, Cambridge, CB3 0WA, UK

arXiv:1510.01335v2 [hep-th] 20 May 2016

Abstract

Using an explicit path integral approach we derive non-abelian bosonization and duality of 3D systems in the large N limit. We first consider a fermionic $U(N)$ vector model coupled to level k Chern-Simons theory, following standard techniques we gauge the original global symmetry and impose the corresponding field strength $F_{\mu\nu}$ to vanish introducing a Lagrange multiplier Λ. Exchanging the order of integrations we obtain the bosonized theory with Λ as the propagating field using the large N rather than the previously used large mass limit. Next we follow the same procedure to dualize the scalar $U(N)$ vector model coupled to Chern-Simons and find its corresponding dual theory. Finally, we compare the partition functions of the two resulting theories and find that they agree in the large N limit including a level/rank duality. This provides a constructive evidence for previous proposals on level/rank duality of 3D vector models in the large N limit. We also present a partial analysis at subleading order in large N and find that the duality does not generically hold at this level.

Contents

1 Introduction **2**

2 Review of Duality Transformations for 3d Fermions **3**
2.1 Comments and extensions of fermionic duality in 3D 4

3 Duality in $U(N)$ Fermionic Vector Model **5**
3.1 Large mass limit: an insight into the dual theory 7
3.2 Light-cone gauge and the large-N limit 8

4 Duality for Bosonic Vector Models **12**

5 Comments on level/rank duality with matter **15**
5.1 't Hooft limit 15
5.2 Small field approximation 15

6 Comments on $\frac{1}{N}$ corrections **16**
6.1 Bosonic effective action 17
6.2 Fermionic effective action 18
6.3 Saddle points 18
6.4 1-loop contribution to subleading order in $\frac{1}{N}$ 19
6.5 A small digression to Functional Determinants 20

7 Evaluation of the Effective actions at the saddle points **20**

8 Conclusions **21**

A Integrating fermions and Chern-Simons theory **22**

B Functional integration and an obstruction **23**
B.1 Fermions: A singularity for light-cone integration without Chern-Simons 24
B.2 Bosons: A singularity for light-cone integration without Chern-Simons . 24

C Momentum space actions **25**
C.1 Perturbative solution in Λ: 26
C.2 Large N Analysis: 30

D Diagramatic expansion of the effective actions upto $\mathcal{O}(\frac{1}{N})$ **32**
D.1 One Point amplitude 32
D.2 Two Point amplitude 34
D.3 Three Point amplitude 35
D.4 Four Point amplitude 37

1 Introduction

One of the most remarkable concepts in theoretical physics is duality – the fact that the same physical theory admits more than one description in terms of different degrees of freedom. Arguably, one of the most interesting examples of duality is bosonization in two dimensions which evolved from an equivalence of the quantum perturbative expansions [1], to include the solitonic sector of the theory [2], to a more algebraic understanding of the equivalence in terms of currents [3]. As a path integral equivalence, two-dimensional bosonization was completely formulated in [4, 5] wherein through a set of transformations the fermionic partition function was mapped into the bosonic partition function. These serve as one of the very few examples where a duality between two theories can be proved as an equivalence of partition functions using a series of transformations in the path integral rather that making a conjecture and checking some of its implications.

For many physically important consequences the conjecture and check approach is completely satisfactory as the AdS/CFT correspondence abundantly shows. However, conceptually this approach lacks some clarity and finality. Some of these path-integral techniques used to establish two-dimensional bosonization have also been applied to three dimensional systems. In particular, equivalence, in a certain limit, between very massive fermions and a Chern-Simons theory was established [6, 7].

Recently, renewed attention has been paid to three dimensional vector models, that is models with matter fields in the fundamental representation of $U(N)$. This interest is largely motivated by the Higher Spin/Vector Model duality (for a review see [8]). In a very precise sense this duality is a baby version of the more established AdS/CFT correspondence stating the equivalence between strings in $\mathrm{AdS}_5 \times S^5$ and $\mathcal{N} = 4$ super Yang Mills in four dimensions. Independently of the original motivation for the renewed interest in 3D vector models, some important properties and relationships among these models have been established or conjectured using purely field theoretical tools.

One such example is a new generalized level/rank duality for Chern-Simons theories coupled to bosonic or fermionic matter fields relating $U(N)$ level k Chern-Simons coupled to critical bosons to $U(k)$ level N Chern-Simons coupled to free fermions [9, 10, 11]. This interesting conjecture was preceded or accompanied by important work, such as, [12, 13, 14, 15]; it has naturally motivated investigations into various cleanly defined field theoretic question for vector models coupled to Chern-Simons theories [16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21]

In this manuscript we revisit duality transformations in 3D fermionic and bosonic vector models following prescriptions formulated and applied in, for example, [6] using the large N approximation. In section 2 we review duality as a bosonization of 3D fermions, we also comment on some extensions and generalizations to more elaborate fermionic theories. In section 3 we apply the dualization techniques to fermions by gauging a $U(N)$ global symmetry. Section 4 applies similar techniques to bosonic vector models with scalars in the fundamental representation of $U(N)$. In section 5, we compare the dual actions and verify that they respect the level/rank equivalence of Chern-Simons theories coupled to vector matter fields in the large N limit. In sections 6 and 7 we present a discussion of the effective actions beyond the large N limit and find that the duality does not generically hold at this level. We conclude in

section 8 highlighting a number of interesting open problems that we hope dualization might shed some light on. Some technical considerations are presented in a series of appendices.

2 Review of Duality Transformations for 3d Fermions

In this section we review the duality algorithm in the case of 3D fermions [6]. Our aim is to set up the stage for the algorithms and some of the techniques we will apply and extend in this paper. The starting point is the action of a massive fermion coupled to an external field:

$$S_\psi = \int d^3x \bar{\psi} \left(\not{\partial} + m + J_\mu M_\mu \right) \psi, \tag{2.1}$$

where J_μ is a collection of external fields and M_μ is a collection of appropriate Dirac matrices, e.g., $J_\mu M^\mu = i a_\mu \gamma^\mu$. The corresponding quantum theory is defined via its Euclidean path integral

$$Z_\psi = \int D\bar{\psi} D\psi \exp(-S_\psi). \tag{2.2}$$

To dualize the above theory one takes the following steps:

1. Enlarge the fermion theory by gauging the global $U(1)$ symmetry $\psi \to e^{i\theta}\psi$ using a gauge field A_μ.
2. Constrain the field strength of the corresponding gauge potential, A_μ, to vanish by introducing a Lagrange multiplier, Λ_μ.

This procedure leads to the following extended action

$$\mathcal{L}_G = \mathcal{L}_\psi + i\bar{\psi}\gamma^\mu \psi A_\mu + \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho}\partial_\mu A_\nu \Lambda_\rho, \tag{2.3}$$

where Λ_ρ is the Lagrange multiplier enforcing the vanishing of the field strength. The main statement of the duality transformation is that the extended master action (2.3) is equivalent to the original one (2.1)and also leads to the dual action:

- The original action is recovered by integrating out the Lagrange multiplier which implies that A_μ is pure gauge. Integrating over A_μ which is gauge equivalent to zero amounts to choosing a gauge where A_μ is zero leading to the original action.
- To obtain the dual theory (the bosonized action) one needs to first integrate out the fields ψ and A_μ. The theory that corresponds to Λ_μ is the dual theory.

One subtlety in the above dualization procedure resides in global aspects as there might be flat connections that are not smoothly connected to the trivial connection [22]; this obstruction is characterized by the first fundamental group of spacetime. For example, for applications to duality among field theories at finite temperature the above prescription will be insufficient. In this manuscript we restrict ourselves to situations where this subtlety can be neglected.

The central object is the dual field Λ_μ whose effective action is defined as

$$\exp\left(iS_\Lambda(\Lambda,J)\right)=\int D\bar{\psi}D\psi DA_\mu \exp\left(iS_G(\psi,\Lambda,J,A)\right). \tag{2.4}$$

The main result of [6] consists on evaluating the above integral fairly explicitly. The limit discussed in [6] corresponds to an expansion in the inverse fermion mass, $1/m$. The leading term in the $1/m$ expansion is

$$\begin{aligned}\exp\left(i\Gamma_F(A)\right) &= \int[d\psi]\exp\left(-i\int d^3x\bar{\psi}\gamma^\mu(\partial_\mu-iA_\mu+m)\psi\right)\\ &= \exp\left(\frac{i}{2}\ k\int d^3x\,\epsilon^{\mu\lambda\nu}A_\mu\partial_\lambda A_\nu+\ldots\right),\end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

where $k=\mathrm{sign}(m)/(8\pi)^2$ and the ellipsis stands for terms that vanish in the infinite mass m limit, e. g., $\frac{1}{|m|}F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu}$. Note that we only write explicitly the lowest dimension operator in the action. The last step is integrating with respect to A_μ. Let us consider $J_\mu=a_\mu$, as done in [6] to emphasized that we are referring to an applied electromagnetic field. The integration over the field A_μ becomes algebraic and the final results is:

$$\begin{aligned}\exp\left(iS_\Lambda(\Lambda,a)\right) &= \int[dA_\mu]\exp\left(i\Gamma_F(a+A)+i\int d^3x\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho}\partial_\mu A_\nu\Lambda_\rho\right),\\ S_\Lambda(\Lambda,a) &= -\int d^3x\epsilon^{\mu\lambda\nu}\left(\frac{1}{2k}\Lambda_\mu\partial_\lambda\Lambda_\nu+a_\mu\partial_\lambda\Lambda_\nu\right).\end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

Thus, the dual theory, which can also be interpreted as the bosonized version of the original fermionic theory, turns our to be an Abelian Chern-Simons theory coupled to an external field a_μ. It is, therefore, established that for distances long compared with its Compton wavelength the fermionic theory is dual/equivalent to a Chern-Simons theory. Note that as a result of the algebraic integration of the gauge field A_μ the Chern-Simons level of the effective theory appears as $1/k$ with respect to the result of integrating out the fermions. We will pay particular attention to the Chern-Simons level in more general cases.

2.1 Comments and extensions of fermionic duality in 3D

There are several refinements and extensions of the duality transformation presented above, we recall some which will be useful in subsequent sections. Fradkin and Schaposnik considered the massive Thirring model, i.e., massive fermions with a four-fermion interaction. Using similar techniques they established a duality, to leading order in $1/m$, between the massive Thirring model and Maxwell-Chern-Simons theory [7]. The main technical ingredient that allows to treat the four fermion interaction is its representation as a Gaussian integration over a vector field. The corresponding identity is a rewriting of the four fermion interaction ($J_\mu=\bar{\psi}\gamma_\mu\psi$) as:

$$\exp\left(\frac{g^2}{2}\int d^3xJ^\mu J_\mu\right)=\int DA_\mu\exp\left(-\int d^3\left(\frac{1}{2}A^\mu A_\mu+gJ^\mu A_\mu\right)\right). \tag{2.7}$$

A similar 'un-completing the square' identity was used to treat the duality of the 2d Thirring model in [4].

An extension of these dualities to the case of massless fermions was discussed in [23]. The issues of non-locality can not be avoided in this case. Namely, the effective action obtained by integrating out the fermions leads to a series of inverse powers of $(\partial\!\!\!/)^{-1}$ with no mass to regulated those terms [23]. There is no natural way to separate scales and therefore terms like $F_{\mu\nu}(-\Box)^{-1/2}F^{\mu\nu}$ are not suppressed.

With the hope of clarifying the relevance of the large mass limit, it is also worth pointing out an important perspective in the integration over massless fermions and the appearance of a Chern-Simons theory just like in the infinite mass case [24] [25]. To evaluate the effective action (integration over fermionic degrees of freedom) at zero fermion mass one requires a regularization because of ultraviolet divergences. The regularization could be taken to be Pauli-Villars $S^{reg}_{eff}[A, m=0] = S_{eff}[A, m=0] - \lim_{M\to\infty} S_{eff}[A, M]$. The Pauli-Villars regularization respects gauge invariance. In the $M \to \infty$ limit the second term leads to the induced Chern-Simon action. Basically, similarly to the situation with the chiral anomaly in four dimensions, maintaining gauge invariance leads to parity breaking. Thus, the appearance of a Chern-Simons term in the context of integrating out massless fermions seems generic. There are many physical applications where the manipulations we describe are central. Recently, for example, they have been applied in the hope of finding an effective field theory for topological insulators [26].

The extension of the duality transformation to higher dimensions was also described in [6]. Technically, the path integral can be explicitly performed in the large fermion mass limit and the obtained bosonic theory is found to be that of a rank $(d-1)$ antisymmetric Kalb-Ramond-type gauge potential. In 3d, as we have shown, the result is the Chern-Simons action; in dimensions higher than three the action is non-local.

Another important extension of the duality transformation reviewed at the beginning of this section is its generalization to the non-Abelian case. Namely, in [27], following steps analogous to those of [7], the dual of the massive $SU(N)$ Thirring model was constructed. The result, up to $1/m$ corrections, is a complicated action which becomes level $k=1$, $SU(N)$ Chern-Simons theory only in the limit of vanishing four-fermion coupling.

3 Duality in $U(N)$ Fermionic Vector Model

Given the global $U(N)$ symmetry of the fermionic vector model we follow the steps outlined above to construct a dual theory. Namely, we gauge the symmetry; impose that the gauge field has vanishing curvature and then eliminate the gauge field and the original fundamental fermion writing an action only for the Lagrange multiplier which is, by definition, the dual action.

The starting point is the action of a single fermion in the fundamental representation of $U(N)$

$$S = \int d^3x \Big(\bar{\psi} \partial\!\!\!/ \psi + V(\bar{\psi}\psi) \Big). \tag{3.1}$$

We now gauge the global $U(N)$ symmetry and add a Lagrange multiplier, Λ^a_ρ, imposing the vanishing of the field strength, $F^a_{\mu\nu}$. The resulting action takes the following form:

$$\tilde{S}_G = \int d^3x \bigg(\bar{\psi}(\gamma^\mu \partial_\mu - i A^a_\mu T^a \gamma_\mu)\psi + V(\bar{\psi}\psi) + \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho} F^a_{\mu\nu} \Lambda^a_\rho \bigg). \tag{3.2}$$

Let us now explain a modification with which we shall work. The Higher Spin/Vector Model dualities such as those originally proposed in [28, 29] focused on the singlet sector of vector models. One natural way to zoom in on the singlet sector is through coupling to a gauge field whose dynamics is governed by a Chern-Simons term. Moreover, there is a number of exciting new results in the studies of vector models coupled to Chern-Simons. For example, they have been solved in the large N 't Hooft limit in [12] (see also [10] and [15]).

Therefore, we now consider a theory of a fundamental fermion interacting with $U(N)$ level k Chern-Simons theory. From the point of view of duality one could think of this situation as having a fermion with global $U(N)$ symmetry that one wishes to dualize. Following the standard recipe one would gauge that symmetry and demand that the gauge field have vanishing curvature; the new ingredient would be that one adds a kinetic term in the form of the Chern-Simons action for this gauge field. The complete action we wish to study is, in either perspective:

$$\begin{aligned} S_G &= \int d^3x \bigg[\bar{\psi}\gamma^\mu D_\mu \psi + V(\bar{\psi}\psi) + \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho} F^a_{\mu\nu} \Lambda^a_\rho \\ &+ \frac{ik}{4\pi} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho} \mathrm{Tr} \left(A_\mu \partial_\nu A_\rho - \frac{2i}{3} A_\mu A_\nu A_\rho \right) \bigg]. \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

If we integrate out the Lagrange multiplier Λ^a_μ first we simply have that the gauge field is pure gauge and then we can simply fix the gauge that leaves us with the initial action of fermions with $U(N)$ global symmetry. Modulo topological subtleties which we avoid by considering a space with no boundary where the Chern-Simons theory might become dynamical.

We now consider integrating in a different order, namely we would like to integrate in the path integral the fermions and gauge fields and consider the remaining theory of the Lagrange multiplier as the dual theory to the fermionic vector model.

The master theory is defined as:

$$\begin{aligned} Z^F &= \int DA^a_\mu D\bar{\psi} D\psi D\Lambda_\mu \exp\bigg(- \int d^3x \bigg[\bar{\psi}(\gamma^\mu \partial_\mu - i A^a_\mu T^a \gamma_\mu)\psi + V(\bar{\psi}\psi) + \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho} F^a_{\mu\nu} \Lambda^a_\rho \\ &\quad + \frac{ik}{4\pi} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho} \mathrm{Tr} \left(A_\mu \partial_\nu A_\rho - \frac{2i}{3} A_\mu A_\nu A_\rho \right) \bigg] \bigg) \\ &= \int D\Lambda_\mu Z^F[\Lambda]. \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

In what follows we will describe approximations to the above path integral with the hope of developing a clear intuition into the properties of $Z^F[\Lambda]$.

3.1 Large mass limit: an insight into the dual theory

To build up our intuition about the type of dual answer we can get it is instructive to consider the particular case of massive fermions, where the potential $V(\bar{\psi}\psi)$ just leads to a mass term. Let us consider integrating over the fermionic degrees of freedom before we integrate out the gauge field. In the large mass limit we have:

$$\begin{aligned} Z^F[\Lambda^a_\mu]_{m\to\infty} &= \int DA^a_\mu D\bar{\psi}D\psi \exp\left[\int d^3x \left(\bar{\psi}(i\not{\partial} + m + i\not{A})\psi + \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho}F^a_{\mu\nu}\Lambda^a_\rho\right) - S^{CS}_k\right] \\ &\approx \int DA^a_\mu \exp\left(S^{CS}_{\Delta k}(A) + \int d^3x \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho}F^a_{\mu\nu}\Lambda_\rho\right), \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

where the Chern-Simons level is $\Delta k = k_1 - k$ with $k_1 = N\mathrm{Tr}\mathbb{1}_2 = 2N$, where $\mathbb{1}_2$ is the identity matrix in the space of Dirac gamma matrices. We have used that in the large mass limit the integration over fermions leads to a Chern-Simons action for the corresponding gauge field. This is a fairly standard computation which can be found, for example, in [30]. We provide a brief review of the calculation in appendix A for self-completeness and to fix out notation.

The next step is to integrate over the gauge field. With this aim, we find it convenient to take the light cone gauge

$$A_- = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(A_1 + A_2) = 0. \tag{3.6}$$

In the line-cone gauge the gluon self-energy vanishes and the Chern-Simons actions becomes

$$S^{CS}_k = \frac{k}{4\pi}\int d^3x A^a_+ \partial_- A^a_3. \tag{3.7}$$

The path integral takes the following form:

$$Z^F[\Lambda^a_\mu]_{m\to\infty} = \int DA^a_+ DA^a_3 \exp\left[\int d^3x \left(A^a_+\left(\frac{\Delta k}{2\pi}\delta^{ab}\partial_- - f^{ab}{}_c\Lambda^c_-\right)A^b_3 + A^a_+\mathcal{F}^a_{-3} + A^a_3\mathcal{F}^a_{+-}\right)\right],$$

where

$$\mathcal{F}^a_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu\Lambda^a_\nu - \partial_\nu\Lambda^a_\mu. \tag{3.8}$$

The integration of A^a_+ leads to the following equation for A^a_3:

$$\left(\frac{\Delta k}{2\pi}\delta^{ab}\partial_- - f^{ab}{}_c\Lambda^c_-\right)A^b_3 + \mathcal{F}^a_{-3} = 0. \tag{3.9}$$

Denoting N^{ab} the inverse of the operator multiplying A^b_3 in the equation above, i.e.,

$$\left(\frac{\Delta k}{2\pi}\delta^{ac}\partial_- - f^{ac}{}_d\Lambda^d_-\right)N_{cb} = \delta^a_b, \tag{3.10}$$

the final result for the partition function of the dual theory in this limit is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
Z^F[\Lambda^a_\mu]_{m\to\infty} &= \int DA^a_\mu \exp\left(S^{CS}_{\Delta k}(A) + \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho}F^a_{\mu\nu}\Lambda_\rho\right), \qquad (3.11)\\
&= \int DA^a_+ DA^a_3 \exp\left(A^a_+\left(\frac{\Delta k}{2\pi}\delta^{ab}\partial_- - f^{ab}{}_c\Lambda^c_-\right)A^b_3 + A^a_+\mathcal{F}^a_{-3} + A^a_3\mathcal{F}^a_{+-}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

The partition function turns out to be

$$
Z^F[\Lambda_\mu]_{m\to\infty} = \int D\Lambda_\mu \left(\det(\frac{\Delta k}{2\pi}\delta^{ab}\partial_- - f^{ab}{}_c\Lambda^c_-)\right)^{-1} \exp\left(-\int d^3x N^{ab}\mathcal{F}^a_{-3}\mathcal{F}^b_{+-}\right). \qquad (3.12)
$$

A few comments about this answer are in order. The above expression has been obtained in the large mass limit and therefore it is expected to capture phenomena in the long wave length range. One might object that the action does not look appropriately non Abelian, in the sense that $\mathcal{F}^a_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu\Lambda^a_\nu - \partial_\nu\Lambda^a_\mu$ does not contain the expected commutator term. The answer to this seeming puzzle is simply that the natural object to dualize the action would be a Kalb-Ramond 2-form field ($F^a_{\mu\nu}\Lambda^{a\mu\nu}$) we are simply rewriting the action in terms of the dual field $\Lambda^a_\rho = \epsilon_\rho{}^{\mu\nu}\Lambda^a_{\mu\nu}$.

3.2 Light-cone gauge and the large-N limit

We now consider integrating the fermionic degrees of freedom in the large N limit. First, we implement the integration over the gauge fields using the light-cone gauge: $A_- = 0$. The action reduces to

$$
\begin{aligned}
S &= \int d^3x \Big[\bar\psi\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu\psi + A^a_+ J^a_- + A^a_3 J^a_3 + \frac{k}{2\pi}A^a_+\partial_- A^a_3 + V(\bar\psi\psi)\\
&+ A^a_+\partial_-\Lambda^a_3 - A^a_3\partial_-\Lambda^a_+ - A^a_+\partial_3\Lambda^a_- + A^a_3\partial_-\Lambda^a_- + f_{abc}A^b_3A^c_+\Lambda^a_-\Big],\\
&= \int d^3x \Big[\bar\psi\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu\psi + V(\bar\psi\psi)\\
&+ A^a_+\left(J^a_- + \frac{k}{2\pi}A^a_+\mathcal{F}^a_{-3} + f_{abc}\Lambda^b_-A^c_3\right)\\
&+ A^a_3(J^a_3 + \mathcal{F}^a_{+-})\Big], \qquad (3.13)
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
J^a_\mu = -i\bar\psi\gamma_\mu T^a\psi. \qquad (3.14)
$$

The action is linear in A_+ (it is also linear in A_3) and we will integrate it out by using its equation of motion:

$$
\frac{k}{2\pi}\partial_- A^a_3 + J^a_- + \partial_-\Lambda^a_3 - \partial_3\Lambda^a_- + f_{abc}\Lambda^b_-A^c_3 = 0. \qquad (3.15)
$$

One limit of this equation ($\Lambda \equiv 0$) was treated in [14] we will return to this limit repeatedly as a source of technical and conceptual intuition. We rewrite the equation

as:

$$\left(\frac{k}{2\pi}\delta^{ab}\partial_- - f^{ab}{}_c\Lambda^c_-\right)A^b_3 = J^a_- + \mathcal{F}^a_{-3}. \tag{3.16}$$

Let us formally define the solution to be

$$A^a_3 = N^{ab}(J^b_- + \mathcal{F}^b_{-3}), \tag{3.17}$$

where N^{ab} is the same tensor that appeared already in Eq. (3.10) with the small difference of the Chern-Simons level. Substituting in the action we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} S &= \int d^3x \Big[\bar\psi\left(\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu - iN^{ab}\mathcal{F}^b_{-3}\gamma_3 T^a + iN^{ab}\mathcal{F}^a_{-+}\gamma_- T^b\right)\psi + V(\bar\psi\psi) \\ &- N^{ab}\bar\psi\gamma_- T^b\psi\bar\psi\gamma_3 T^a\psi - N^{ab}\mathcal{F}^a_{-+}\mathcal{F}^b_{-3}\Big] \end{aligned} \tag{3.18}$$

The dual theory takes the following form:

$$\begin{aligned} Z^F[\Lambda^a_\mu] &= \int D\bar\psi D\psi \det\left(\frac{k}{4\pi}\delta^{ab}\partial_- - f^{ab}{}_c\Lambda^c_-\right)^{-1}\exp\left(\bar\psi\partial\!\!\!/\psi + V(\bar\psi\psi) + A^a_3(J^a_3 + \mathcal{F}^a_{+-})\right) \\ &= \int D\bar\psi D\psi \exp\Big(\bar\psi\left(\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu - iN^{ab}\mathcal{F}^b_{-3}\gamma_3 T^a + iN^{ab}\mathcal{F}^a_{-+}\gamma_- T^b\right)\psi + V(\bar\psi\psi) \\ &- N^{ab}\bar\psi\gamma_- T^b\psi\bar\psi\gamma_3 T^a\psi\Big) \times \det\left(\frac{k}{4\pi}\delta^{ab}\partial_- - f^{ab}{}_c\Lambda^c_-\right)^{-1}\exp\left(-N^{ab}\mathcal{F}^a_{-+}\mathcal{F}^b_{-3}\right). \end{aligned} \tag{3.19}$$

Before proceeding to integrate over fermions we already notice the appearance, in the last line in (3.19), of part of the structure that showed up in the large mass limit. In particular, the last line is proving to be a recurrent structure of the effective action for the field Λ^a_μ in various limits.

We have a formal expression for the dual action in Eq. (3.19), to achieve a better understanding and to prepare the groundwork for the large N limit, it is convenient to study in more detail the group structure for the operator N^{ab} as follows. The natural decomposition of any algebra valued two-tensor should be:

$$N^{ab} = \delta^{ab}\mathcal{N}_0 + if^{abc}\mathcal{N}^c_1 + d^{abc}\mathcal{N}^c_2. \tag{3.20}$$

Using the fact that

$$N^{ab}\left(\frac{k}{2\pi}\delta^{bd}\partial_- - f^{bdc}\Lambda^c_-\right)A^d_3 = A^a_3 \tag{3.21}$$

we find

$$\mathcal{N}_0 = \frac{2\pi}{k}\,\frac{1}{\partial_-} - \frac{1}{N}\frac{2\pi}{k}\,\frac{1}{\partial_-}i\mathcal{N}^a_1\Lambda^a_- \tag{3.22}$$

Using the identity

$$f^{dan}f^{abc}f^{bde} = Nf^{nce}, \tag{3.23}$$

we find coupled system of equations for $\mathcal{N}_1^a, \mathcal{N}_2^a$,

$$\frac{ik\mathcal{N}_1^e\partial_-}{2\pi} - if^{elc}\Lambda_-^c\mathcal{N}_1^l = \mathcal{N}_0\Lambda_-^e + d^{elc}\Lambda_-^c\mathcal{N}_2^l, \tag{3.24}$$

$$\frac{k(N^2-4)\mathcal{N}_2^e\partial_-}{2\pi N^2} - f^{elc}\Lambda_-^c\mathcal{N}_2^l = id^{elc}\Lambda_-^c\mathcal{N}_1^l. \tag{3.25}$$

These equations should be taken in the formal sense due to the presence of ∂_- . We will discuss other aspects of N^{ab}, or equivalentely of the integro-differential equation for $A_3^a(\Lambda)$ in appendix C. Now, in this basis the quartic fermion term in the previous action Eq. (3.18) becomes :

$$\begin{aligned} S &= \int d^3x\Big[\bar\psi\Big(\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu \\ &- i(\delta^{ab}\mathcal{N}_0 + if^{abc}\mathcal{N}_1^c + d^{abc}\mathcal{N}_2^c)(\mathcal{F}_{-3}^b\gamma_3T^a + \mathcal{F}_{-+}^a\gamma_-T^b)\Big)\psi + V(\bar\psi\psi) \\ &- (\delta^{ab}\mathcal{N}_0 + if^{abc}\mathcal{N}_1^c + d^{abc}\mathcal{N}_2^c)(\bar\psi\gamma_-T^b\psi\bar\psi\gamma_3T^a\psi - \mathcal{F}_{-+}^a\mathcal{F}_{-3}^b)\Big] \end{aligned} \tag{3.26}$$

where $\mathcal{N}_2 = \mathcal{N}_2^aT^a, \mathcal{N}_1 = \mathcal{N}_1^aT^a$.

First, observe that the terms which have explicit coefficient of $\frac{1}{N}$ vanish in the $N\to\infty$ limit. Next, we exploit the fact that $\mathcal{N}_0 \sim \mathcal{O}(\frac{1}{k})$. We also observe that from the coupled equations for $\mathcal{N}_1$ and $\mathcal{N}_2$ it follows that $\mathcal{N}_1$ is suppressed by powers of $\frac{1}{k^2}$ or higher powers of $\frac{1}{k}$, and that $\mathcal{N}_2$ is suppressed by $\frac{1}{k^3}$ or higher powers of $\frac{1}{k}$. Therefore, all the terms in the actions involving Λ_μ^a, except the universal term $N^{ab}\mathcal{F}_{-+}^a\mathcal{F}_{-3}^b$, are subleading in the limit $N\to\infty, k\to\infty$, with $\frac{N}{k}$ fixed. This universal term remains untouched because we are not integrating over Λ_μ^a.

The main obstruction to a full integration of the fermionic fields remains the term quartic in fermions. The starting identity in the large-N integration is a simple extension of the Hubbard-Stratonovich identity [14]. Here we assume the bi-local structure $\bar\psi^i(x)\psi^i(y)$ as discussed in detail in [14] which highlights the connection to higher spin fields [31]; we also do not write explicitly integration over spacetime variables. However, we assume both implicitly.

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= \int D\alpha_0D\alpha_-\delta\left(\alpha_0 - \frac{1}{2N}\bar\psi\psi\right)\delta\left(\alpha_- - \frac{1}{2N}\bar\psi\gamma_-\psi\right) \\ &= \int D\alpha_0D\alpha_-D\mu_0D\mu_+\exp\left(2i\mu_0\left(\alpha_0 - \frac{1}{2N}\bar\psi\psi\right) + 2i\mu_+\left(\alpha_- - \frac{1}{2N}\bar\psi\gamma_-\psi)\right)\right). \end{aligned} \tag{3.27}$$

The partition function is given by

$$\begin{aligned} Z &= \int \mathcal{D}\bar\psi\mathcal{D}\psi e^{-\mathrm{Tr}\log(\frac{k}{4\pi}\delta^{ab}\partial_- - f^{ab}{}_c\Lambda_-^c)}\exp\Big[\int\frac{d^3q}{(2\pi)^3}\bar\psi(-q)\gamma^\mu q_\mu\psi(q) \\ &+ N\int\frac{d^3P}{(2\pi)^3}\frac{d^3p_1}{(2\pi)^3}\frac{d^3p_2}{(2\pi)^3}\frac{8\pi iN}{k(p_1-p_2)_-}\xi_-(P,p_1)\xi_I(-P,p_2)\Big] \end{aligned} \tag{3.28}$$

Inserting the identity back in the partition function it becomes

$$\begin{aligned} Z &= \int \mathcal{D}\alpha_0 \mathcal{D}\alpha_- \mathcal{D}\mu_0 \mathcal{D}\mu_+ \exp\left(i \int (2\mu_+.\alpha_- + 2\mu_0.\alpha_0) \right) \times \\ & \exp\left(-N \int \frac{d^3P}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{d^3p_1}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{d^3p_2}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{8\pi i N}{k(p_1-p_2)_-} \alpha_-(P,p_1)\alpha_0(-P,p_2) \right) \times \\ & \int \mathcal{D}\bar{\psi}\mathcal{D}\psi \exp\left(-\mathrm{Tr}\log(\frac{k}{4\pi}\delta^{ab}\partial_- - f^{ab}{}_c\Lambda_-^c) \right) \times \\ & \exp\left(\int \frac{d^3q d^3P}{(2\pi)^6} \bar{\psi}(-\frac{P}{2}-q)(i\gamma^\mu q_\mu (2\pi)^3\delta^3(P) - i\frac{\gamma_-\mu_+}{N} - \frac{i\mu_0}{N} - i\frac{\gamma_-\mathcal{F}_{+-}}{kP_-} - i\frac{\gamma_3\mathcal{F}_{-3}}{kP_-})\psi(-\frac{P}{2}+q) \right) \end{aligned} \tag{3.29}$$

rescaling

$$\mu_0 = N\tilde{\mu}_0, \quad \tilde{\mu}_+ = N\mu_+ \tag{3.30}$$

In the large N limit the path integral is dominated by the saddle point solutions and assuming Poincare invariance on these saddle point solutions we get

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \alpha_0(P,p_1)\rangle = (2\pi)^3\delta^3(P)\alpha_0(p_1), \quad \langle \alpha_-(P,p_1)\rangle = (2\pi)^3\delta^3(P)\alpha_-(p_1) \\ \langle i\mu_0(P,p_1)\rangle = (2\pi)^3\delta^3(P)\Sigma_0(p_1), \quad \langle i\mu_-(P,p_1)\rangle = (2\pi)^3\delta^3(P)\Sigma_-(p_1) \end{aligned} \tag{3.31}$$

At this vacuum configuration of the singlet fields the partition function becomes

$$\begin{aligned} Z &= \int \mathcal{D}\alpha_0 \mathcal{D}\alpha_- \mathcal{D}\mu_0 \mathcal{D}\mu_+ exp\left(i \int (2\mu_+.\alpha_- + 2\mu_0.\alpha_0) \right) \times \\ & \exp\left(-VN \int \frac{d^3p_1}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{d^3p_2}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{8\pi i N}{k(p_1-p_2)_-} \alpha_-(p_1)\alpha_0(p_2) \right) \times e^{-\mathrm{Tr}\log(\frac{k}{4\pi}\delta^{ab}\partial_- - f^{ab}{}_c\Lambda_-^c)} \times \\ & \exp\left(-\int \frac{d^3q d^3P}{(2\pi)^6} \bar{\psi}(-\frac{P}{2}-q)(i\gamma^\mu q_\mu + \gamma_-\Sigma_+ + \Sigma_0)(2\pi)^3\delta^3(P)\psi(-\frac{P}{2}+q) \right) \\ &= \int \mathcal{D}\alpha_0 \mathcal{D}\alpha_- \mathcal{D}\mu_0 \mathcal{D}\mu_+ exp\left(i \int (2\mu_+.\alpha_- + 2\mu_0.\alpha_0) \right) \times \\ & \exp\left(-VN \int \frac{d^3p_1}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{d^3p_2}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{8\pi i N}{k(p_1-p_2)_-} \alpha_-(p_1)\alpha_0(p_2) \right) \times e^{-\mathrm{Tr}\log(\frac{k}{4\pi}\delta^{ab}\partial_- - f^{ab}{}_c\Lambda_-^c)} \times \\ & \exp\left(-VN \int \frac{d^3q}{(2\pi)^3} \log\det(i\gamma^\mu q_\mu + \gamma_-\Sigma_+ + \Sigma_0 \right) \end{aligned} \tag{3.32}$$

and

$$-i\int \tilde{\mu}.\alpha = V\int \frac{d^3q}{(2\pi)^3}\left(-2\Sigma_+(q)\alpha_-(q) - 2\Sigma_0(q)\alpha_0(q) \right) \tag{3.33}$$

Where $V \equiv (2\pi)^3\delta^3(0)$ and we have used the following identity for fermionic Gaussian integration

$$\int \mathcal{D}\psi\mathcal{D}\bar{\psi} e^{-\int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3}\bar{\psi}(-p)A(p)\psi(p)} = e^{V\int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3}\log\det A(p)} \tag{3.34}$$

.

All these pieces combine to give the effective action

$$\begin{aligned} S_{eff} &= NV\bigg(-\int \frac{d^3q}{(2\pi)^3}\log\det(i\gamma^\mu q_\mu + \gamma_-\Sigma_+ + \Sigma_0) \\ &+ \int \frac{d^3p_1}{(2\pi)^3}\frac{d^3p_2}{(2\pi)^3}\frac{8\pi i N}{k(p_1-p_2)_-}\alpha_-(p_1)\alpha_0(p_2)) \\ &+ \int \frac{d^3q}{(2\pi)^3}(-2\Sigma_+(q)\alpha_-(q) - 2\Sigma_0(q)\alpha_0(q))\bigg) \end{aligned} \tag{3.35}$$

Varying S_{eff} action with respect to α_-, α_0 and putting the resulting equations back we get the following gap equations

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma_{F,+}(p) &= -2\pi i\lambda\int\tfrac{d^3q}{(2\pi)^3}\tfrac{1}{(p-q)_-}\mathrm{Tr}(\tfrac{1}{i\gamma^\mu q_\mu+\Sigma_F(q)}) \\ \Sigma_{F,I}(p) &= 2\pi i\lambda\int\tfrac{d^3q}{(2\pi)^3}\tfrac{1}{(p-q)_-}\mathrm{Tr}(\tfrac{\gamma_-}{i\gamma^\mu q_\mu+\Sigma_F(q)}) \end{aligned} \tag{3.36}$$

and the full dual effective action

$$\begin{aligned} S_{eff}[\Lambda] &= NV\bigg[\int\frac{d^3q}{(2\pi)^3}\bigg\{-\log\det(i\gamma^\mu q_\mu+\Sigma_F(q)) + \frac{1}{2}\frac{\mathrm{Tr}\Sigma_F(q)}{i\gamma^\mu q_\mu+\Sigma_F(q)}\bigg\}\bigg] \\ &+ S[\Lambda] \end{aligned} \tag{3.37}$$

where

$$S[\Lambda] = -\int d^3x N^{ab}\mathcal{F}^a_{-+}\mathcal{F}^b_{-3} - \mathrm{Tr}\log\left(\frac{k}{4\pi}\delta^{ab}\partial_- - f^{ab}{}_c\Lambda^c_-\right) \tag{3.38}$$

which does not contribute to the gap equations in the Large N limit.

The precise evaluation of the first line in Eq. 3.37 which is the planar free energy has been the main result of a series of works starting with [12] and elaborated upon in, for example, [11, 15, 14, 16]. The main conclusion is that $\Sigma_F(q)$ can be computed exactly in the large N limit and it corresponds to the self-energy of the fermions. The large-N approach that we have followed here has also been corroborated by a Schwinger-Dyson equation perspective in some of the works cited above.

It is worth noting that the large mass limit of the previous subsection can be recovered here as well before integrating out the gauge field. The gap equation for α_0 shows that μ_0 becomes the mass as read off from the potential. A diagrammatic argument leads to the action for μ_+, μ_3 to be a Chern-Simons action. The other gap equations identify A_+, A_3 with μ_+ and μ_3. We thus recover precisely the same result as in the previous subsection.

4 Duality for Bosonic Vector Models

We begin with the following action corresponding to a single fundamental boson

$$S_\phi = \int d^3x\left(\frac{1}{2}\partial_\mu\bar\phi\partial^\mu\phi + U(\bar\phi\phi)\right). \tag{4.1}$$

We proceed to gauge the global $U(N)$ symmetry by introducing a gauge field A_μ and adding a Lagrange multiplier Λ to the action that enforces the vanishing of the field strength

$$S = \int d^3x \bigg(D_\mu \bar{\phi} D^\mu \phi + U(\bar{\phi}\phi) + \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho} F_{\mu\nu} \Lambda_\rho \bigg), \tag{4.2}$$

where

$$D_\mu \phi = \partial_\mu \phi^m - i A^a_\mu T_a \phi, \qquad D_\mu \bar{\phi} = \partial_\mu \bar{\phi}^m + i A^a_\mu T_a \bar{\phi}. \tag{4.3}$$

With the same motivation of the previous section we add a Chern-Simons term, the full starting action becomes

$$\begin{aligned} S &= \int d^3x \bigg[\partial_\mu \bar{\phi} \partial^\mu \phi + A^a_\mu J^a_\mu + A^a_\mu A^b_\mu \bar{\phi} T^a T^b \phi + \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho} F_{\mu\nu} \Lambda_\rho + U(\bar{\phi}\phi) \\ &+ \frac{ik}{4\pi} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho} \mathrm{Tr} \left(A_\mu \partial_\nu A_\rho - \frac{2i}{3} A_\mu A_\nu A_\rho \right) \bigg]. \end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

where

$$J^a_\mu = i(\bar{\phi} T^a \partial_\mu \phi - (\partial_\mu \bar{\phi}) T^a \phi). \tag{4.5}$$

As in the previous sections our aim is to integrate out the bosonic field ϕ and the gauge field A^a_μ leaving a dual action for Λ^a_μ. We first proceed to integrate out the gauge field. The most efficient way is using the light-cone gauge ($A_- = 0$). In the light-cone gauge the action becomes

$$\begin{aligned} S &= \int d^3x \bigg[- \bar{\phi} \left(2\partial_+ \partial_- + \partial_3^2 \right) \phi + A^a_+ J^a_- + A^a_3 J^a_3 + A^a_3 A^b_3 \bar{\phi} T^a T^b \phi \\ &- \partial_- A^a_+ \Lambda^a_3 + \partial_- A^a_3 \Lambda^a_+ + (\partial_3 A^a_+ - \partial_+ A^a_3 + f_{abc} A^b_3 A^c_+) \Lambda^a_- + \frac{k}{2\pi} A^a_+ \partial_- A^a_3 \bigg] \end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

where

$$J^a_\mu = i(\bar{\phi} T^a \partial_\mu \phi - (\partial_\mu \bar{\phi}) T^a \phi). \tag{4.7}$$

The action is linear in A^a_+ whose corresponding equation of motion leads to

$$\left(\frac{k}{2\pi} \delta^{ab} \partial_- - f^{ab}{}_c \Lambda^c_- \right) A^b_3 + J^a_- + \mathcal{F}^a_{-3} = 0. \tag{4.8}$$

We assume that the solution of this equation is of the form

$$A^a_3 = N^{ab} (J^b_- + \mathcal{F}^b_{-3}), \tag{4.9}$$

where N^{ab} is the, by now ubiquotous, formal tensor which appeared in Eqns. (3.10) and (3.16). Note that in this case the Chern-Simons level enters exactly as in the case of as in Eq. (3.16). Substituting this solution into the action one arrives at

$$\begin{aligned} S &= -\bar{\phi} \left(2\partial_+ \partial_- + \partial_3^2 \right) \phi \\ &+ N^{ab} (J^b_- + \mathcal{F}^b_{-3})(J^a_3 + \mathcal{F}^a_{+-}) \\ &+ N^{ac} N^{bd} (J^c_- + \mathcal{F}^c_{-3})(J^d_- + \mathcal{F}^d_{-3}) \bar{\phi} T^a T^b \phi \end{aligned} \tag{4.10}$$

Similar to the fermionic case, to gain intuition into the dual action we expand the tensorial structures in N^{ab} by substituting

$$N^{ab} = \delta^{ab}\mathcal{N}_0 + if^{abc}\mathcal{N}_1^c + d^{abc}\mathcal{N}_2^c. \tag{4.11}$$

to get

$$\begin{aligned} S &= -\bar{\phi}\left(2\partial_+\partial_- + \partial_3^2\right)\phi \\ &+ (\delta^{ab}\mathcal{N}_0 + if^{abc}\mathcal{N}_1^c + d^{abc}\mathcal{N}_2^c)(J_-^b + \mathcal{F}_{-3}^b)(J_3^a + \mathcal{F}_{+-}^a) \\ &+ (\delta^{ac}\mathcal{N}_0 + if^{acc_1}\mathcal{N}_1^{c_1} + d^{acc_1}\mathcal{N}_2^{c_1})(\delta^{bd}\mathcal{N}_0 + if^{bdd_1}\mathcal{N}_1^{d_1} + d^{bdd_1}\mathcal{N}_2^{d_1}) \\ &\times (J_-^c + \mathcal{F}_{-3}^c)(J_-^d + \mathcal{F}_{-3}^d)\bar{\phi}T^aT^b\phi \end{aligned} \tag{4.12}$$

It is possible to integrate the bosons in the large N limit by using an extended version of Hubbard-Stratonovich. We implement the Hubbard-Stratonovich identity at the level of path integral as

$$1 = \int D\mu D\alpha \exp\left(i\mu(\alpha - \frac{1}{N}\bar{\phi}\phi)\right). \tag{4.13}$$

Here we assume the bi-local structure $\bar{\phi}^i(x)\phi^i(y)$ as discussed in detail in [14] which highlights the connection to higher spin fields [31]; we simply do not write explicitly the dependence in the coordinates.

After repeating the steps we did for fermonic action we get the following result for the gap equation for the bosonic theory.

$$\Sigma_B(p) = \int \frac{d^3q_1}{(2\pi)^3}\frac{d^3q_2}{(2\pi)^3}\left[C_2(p,q_1,q_2) + C_2(q_1,p,q_2) + C_2(q_1,q_2,p)\right]\frac{1}{q_1^2+\Sigma_B(q_1)}\frac{1}{q_2^2+\Sigma_B(q_2)} \tag{4.14}$$

where

$$C_2(p_1,p_2,p_3) = 4\pi^2\lambda^2\left(\frac{(p_1+p_3)_-(p_2+p_3)_-}{(p_1-p_3)_-(p_2-p_3)_-} + (coupling[(\bar{\phi}\phi)^3])\right) \tag{4.15}$$

and the effective action

$$\begin{aligned} S_{eff}[\Lambda] &= NV\left[\int \frac{d^3q}{(2\pi)^3}\left\{\log(q_s^2+q_3^2+\Sigma_B(q)) - \frac{2}{3}\frac{\Sigma_B(q)}{q_s^2+q_3^2+\Sigma_B(q)}\right\}\right] \\ &+ S[\Lambda] \end{aligned} \tag{4.16}$$

where

$$S[\Lambda] = -\int d^3x N^{ab}\mathcal{F}_{-+}^a\mathcal{F}_{-3}^b - \mathrm{Tr}\log\left(\frac{k}{4\pi}\delta^{ab}\partial_- - f^{ab}{}_c\Lambda_-^c\right) \tag{4.17}$$

Which is identical to (3.38). Again the $S[\Lambda]$ does not contribute to the gap equations at leading order in N in the large-N limit. The first line in Eq. 4.16, that is, the free energy of the scalar plus Chern-Simons theory has been discussed in the literature extensively [9, 11, 14, 16].

5 Comments on level/rank duality with matter

Let us briefly recall in a schematic way the two dual theories

$$
\begin{aligned}
Z^F[\Lambda] &= \int D\bar{\psi}D\psi \det N^{ab} \exp\left[\int d^3x \left(\bar{\psi}\partial\!\!\!/\psi + V(\bar{\psi}\psi) + A_3^a(J_3^a + \mathcal{F}_{+-}^a)\right)\right] \qquad (5.1)\\
&= \int D\bar{\psi}D\psi \det N^{ab} \exp\left[\int d^3x \left(\bar{\psi}\partial\!\!\!/\psi + V(\bar{\psi}\psi) + N^{ab}(J_-^b + \mathcal{F}_{-3}^b)(J_3^a + \mathcal{F}_{+-}^a)\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
Z^B[\Lambda] &= \int D\bar{\phi}D\phi \det N^{ab} \exp\left[\int d^3x \left(-\bar{\phi}\nabla^2\phi + V(\bar{\psi}\psi) + A_3^a(J_3^a + \mathcal{F}_{+-}^a) + A_3^aA_3^b\bar{\phi}T^aT^b\phi\right)\right]\\
&= \int D\bar{\phi}D\phi \det N^{ab} \exp\left[\int d^3x \left(-\bar{\phi}\nabla^2\phi + V(\bar{\psi}\psi) + N^{ab}(J_-^b + \mathcal{F}_{-3}^b)(J_3^a + \mathcal{F}_{+-}^a)\right.\right.\\
&+ \left.\left. N^{ac}N^{bd}(J_-^c + \mathcal{F}_{-3}^c)(J_-^d + \mathcal{F}_{-3}^d)\bar{\phi}T^aT^b\phi\right)\right] \qquad (5.2)
\end{aligned}
$$

Since the path integral above can not be performed rigorously we now consider various approximations leading to or enriching the intuition of level/rank duality

5.1 't Hooft limit

In this subsection we consider the 't Hooft limit: $N, k \to \infty$ with $\lambda = N/k$ held fixed. In the previous sections we have discussed the dual theory in the 't Hooft limit and we have found that it simplifies to the duality that has been discussed before plus an effective theory for the field Λ_μ^a. The level/rank duality with matter is simply a consequence of the part independent of the field Λ_μ^a which has been discussed extensively in the literature plus, the form in which the tensor N^{ab} enters in the action for Λ_μ^a . Namely, given that the level of the Chern-Simons action enters, in the large-N limit as $N^{ab} \sim \delta^{ab}(\partial_-)^{-1}/k + ...$ we conclude that level/rank, at this level simply exchanges $k \leftrightarrow N$.

5.2 Small field approximation

Level/rank duality with matter translate to a relation between the theories $Z^F[\Lambda]$ and $Z^B[\Lambda]$. In the large-N limit we simply reduce the duality to the statements present in the literature. We now discuss a small field expansion in Λ; this is, *a priori*, different from the large N limit approximation. Since there is a lot of evidence about this duality in the case of zero Lagrange multiplier field $\Lambda = 0$, it makes sense to explore a perturbative, in Λ, approach. If we formally take $\Lambda \to 0$ in the above expressions we simply recover the

$$
N^{ab} = \left(\frac{k}{2\pi}\delta^{ab}\partial_-\right)^{-1}. \qquad (5.3)
$$

Consequently, its determinant becomes a field-independent (Λ-independent) factor which we drop.

$$Z^F[\Lambda \to 0] = \int D\bar{\psi} D\psi \exp\left[\int d^3p \left(\bar{\psi} \not{p} \psi + V(\bar{\psi}\psi) + \frac{2\pi}{k} \frac{1}{p_-} J^a_- J^a_3 \right) \right] \tag{5.4}$$

$$Z^B[\Lambda \to 0] = \int D\bar{\phi} D\phi \exp\left[\int d^3p \left(-\bar{\phi} p^2 \phi + V(\bar{\phi}\phi) + \frac{2\pi}{k} \frac{1}{p_-} J^a_- J^a_3 + \left(\frac{2\pi}{k} \right)^2 \frac{1}{p_-} \frac{1}{(p-q)_-} J^a_- J^b_- \bar{\phi} T^a T^b \phi \right) \right] \tag{5.5}$$

These vector models have been extensively studied and the level/rank duality with matter has been verified in observables following from these models including the finite temperature free energies [12, 11, 16]. It is worth highlighting a key conceptual novelty of the actions in Eqs. (5.4) and 5.5. Namely, their mild non-locality as witnessed by the powers of inverse momenta: $1/p_-$. This is similar to the nonlocality that appears in the dual theories where one schematically has, at leading order, $\mathcal{F}^a_{-3}\mathcal{F}^a_{+-}/p_-$.

The momentum space equation for $A^a_3(p)$ takes a similar form in the fermionic Eq. 3.15 or bosonic case Eq. 4.8:

$$\frac{k}{2\pi} \delta^{ab}\, i p_-\, A^b_3(p) - f^{ab}{}_c \int \frac{d^3q}{(2\pi)^3} \Lambda_-(q)^c\, A^b_3(p-q) + J^a_-(p) - \mathcal{F}^a_{-3}(p) = 0. \tag{5.6}$$

In appendix C, we solve this equation as an expansion in Λ. The main result is that before taking the large N limit there is a hierarchy pointing to an equivalence between the fermionic and bosonic theory. We do not pursue this analysis in this manuscript but it lays the foundation for a possible comparison at finite N between the dual effective actions $Z^F[\Lambda]$ and $Z^B[\Lambda]$.

6 Comments on $\frac{1}{N}$ corrections

The discussion of $\frac{1}{N}$ corrections for the case $\Lambda^a(x) = 0$ is the standard one .i.e. one first integrates out the matter and gets an effective action in terms of the auxiliary fields Σ, α [14]. The saddle points are computed from this effective action and finally the effective action for the auxiliary fields is evaluated around the saddle points. By discarding the terms in this expansion which are higher order in $\frac{1}{N}$ one gets the non-local kinetic term for the auxiliary fields. The propagator for the auxiliary field is then used to perform the full path integral upto order $\frac{1}{N}$.

For our framework where $\Lambda^a_\mu(x) \neq 0$, we do not want to integrate out everything, rather we want an effective action in terms of the dual field $\Lambda^a_\mu(x)$. This effective action can be expanded diagrammatically with $\Lambda^a_\mu(x)$ vertices generating external lines for various $n-$point amplitudes. These amplitudes will cary group theory factors which

will generate their N-dependence. It is in this sense that expansion of the effective action in powers of $\Lambda^a_\mu(x)$ can be considered a $\frac{1}{N^\lambda}$ expansion with $\lambda = 0, 1, ...$

As a first step towards computing $\frac{1}{N}$ corrections, the large-N saddle points are computed for μ, α's from equations (3.35),(4.14). In the next step the integrand of the original path integral is expanded in power series in $\frac{1}{N}$ around the large-N saddle points.

6.1 Bosonic effective action

We start by considering the bosonic case

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_\phi &= \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3}\Big[\bar\phi(-p)(p_s^2+p_3^2)\phi(p) + \frac{2\pi i}{kp_-}(j^a_-(p)\mathcal{F}^a_{+-}(-p) + j^a_3(-p)\mathcal{F}^a_{-3}(p)) \\
&+ \frac{2\pi i}{kp_-}(\delta^{ab}j^a_-(p)j^b_3(-p) + N^{ab}\mathcal{F}^a_{-3}(p)\mathcal{F}^b_{+-}(-p)) + \int\frac{d^3q}{(2\pi)^3}\int\frac{d^3r}{(2\pi)^3}(\frac{(2\pi i)^2}{k^2p_-q_-}j^a_-(p)j^b_-(q) \\
&+ \frac{(2\pi i)^2}{k^2p_-q_-}\mathcal{F}^a_{-3}(p)\mathcal{F}^b_{-3}(q))\bar\phi(r)T^aT^b\phi(-p-q-r)\Big]
\end{aligned} \tag{6.1}$$

The above action explicitly contains $\frac{1}{N}$ corrections which are made manifest by also introducing α and Σ through Hubbard-Stratonovich transformation by the identities (3.27) (4.13). Writing out everything explicitly upto $\frac{1}{N}$ corrections

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{S}_\phi &= \int \frac{d^3P_1}{(2\pi)^3}\Big[\bar\phi(-P_1)((P_1)_s^2+(P_1)_3^2)\phi(P_1) \\
&+ \frac{2\pi\lambda i}{N(P_1)_-}\int\frac{d^3P_2}{(2\pi)^3}(-\frac{1}{2}(2P_2+P_1)_-\bar\phi(-P_2)\mathcal{F}_{+-}(-P_1)\phi(P_2+P_1) \\
&+ (2P_2+P_1)_3\bar\phi(-P_2)\mathcal{F}_{-3}(P_1)\phi(P_2-P_1))\Big] \\
&+ N\pi i\lambda\int\frac{d^3P_1}{(2\pi)^3}\frac{d^3q_1}{(2\pi)^3}\frac{d^3q_2}{(2\pi)^3}(\frac{(-P_1+q_1+q_2)_-(P_1+q_1+q_2)_3}{(-q_1+q_2)_-} - \frac{1}{N}\frac{4(q_1)_-(q_2)_3}{(P_1)_-})\alpha(P,q_1)\alpha(-P,q2) \\
&+ \int\frac{d^3P_1}{(2\pi)^3}N^{ab}(P_1)\mathcal{F}^a_{-3}(P_1)\mathcal{F}^b_{+-}(-P_1) \\
&+ N(2\pi i)^2\lambda^2\int\frac{d^3P_1}{(2\pi)^3}\frac{d^3P_2}{(2\pi)^3}\frac{d^3q_1}{(2\pi)^3}\frac{d^3q_2}{(2\pi)^3}\frac{d^3q_3}{(2\pi)^3}\Big(\frac{(P_1-P_2-2(q_1+q_2))_-(2P_1+P_2+2(q_1+q_3))_-}{(P_1+P_2-2q_1+2q_2)_-(P_2-2q_1+2q_3)_-} \\
&- \frac{1}{N}\frac{-2(q_1)_-(P_1+2(P_2+q_2+q_3))_-}{(P_1)_-(P_1-2q_2+2q_3)_-} - \frac{1}{N}\frac{2(P_1-P_2-2(q_1+q_2))_-(q_3)_-}{(P_1+P_2)_-(P_1+P_2-2q_1+2q_2)_-}\Big) \\
&\times \alpha(P_1,q_1)\alpha(P_2,q_2)\alpha(-P_1-P_2,q_3) \\
&+ N(2\pi i)^2\lambda^2\int\frac{d^3P_1}{(2\pi)^3}\frac{d^3P_2}{(2\pi)^3}\frac{d^3q_1}{(2\pi)^3}\frac{1}{(P_1)_-(-P_1-P_2)_-}\frac{\alpha(P_2,q_1)}{N}\frac{\mathcal{F}^a_{-3}(P_1)\mathcal{F}^a_{-3}(-P_1-P_2)}{N^2}
\end{aligned} \tag{6.2}$$

6.2 Fermionic effective action

The fermonic starting point is

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{S}_\psi &= \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3}\bar{\psi}(-p)\gamma^\mu q_\mu \psi(p) + \frac{2\pi i}{kp_-}(j^a_-(p)\mathcal{F}^a_{+-}(-p) + j^a_3(-p)\mathcal{F}^a_{-3}(p)) \\ &+ \frac{2\pi i}{kp_-}\delta^{ab}(j^a_-(p)j^b_3(-p) + \mathcal{F}^a_{-3}(p)\mathcal{F}^b_{+-}(-p))\end{aligned} \tag{6.3}$$

It is crucial to highlight that in terms of currents we already see a difference with respect to the bosonic case, namely the $3rd$ line in equation (6.1). Using the auxiliary field α for the fermonic case gives upto $\frac{1}{N}$ corrections

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{S}_\psi &= \int \frac{d^3P_1}{(2\pi)^3}\Bigg[\bar{\psi}(-P_1)\gamma^\mu(P_1)_\mu\psi(P_1) \\ &+ \frac{2\pi\lambda}{N(P_1)_-}\int\frac{d^3P_2}{(2\pi)^3}(\bar{\psi}(-P_2)\mathcal{F}_{+-}(-p)\gamma_-\psi(P_2+P_1) + \bar{\psi}(-P_2)\mathcal{F}_{-3}\gamma_3(P_1)\psi(P_2-P_1)) \\ &+ N2\pi i\lambda\int\frac{d^3q_1}{(2\pi)^3}\frac{d^3q_2}{(2\pi)^3}\left(\frac{1}{(q_1-q_2)_-}\alpha_-(P_1,q_1)\alpha(-P_1,q_2) - \frac{1}{N}\frac{1}{(P_1)_-}\alpha_-(P_1,q_1)\alpha_3(P_1,q_2)\right)\Bigg] \\ &+ \int\frac{d^3P_1}{(2\pi)^3}N^{ab}(P_1)\mathcal{F}^a_{-3}(P_1)\mathcal{F}^b_{+-}(-P_1)\end{aligned} \tag{6.4}$$

6.3 Saddle points

Our strategy consists in constructing the effective actions as an expansion around the large$-N$ saddle points.

$$\begin{aligned}\mu(q)^\phi = 0, \quad \alpha(q) = \tfrac{1}{q^2} \\ \mu(q)^\psi = \lambda\sqrt{2q_+q_-}, \quad \mu_+(q)^\psi = -i\lambda^2 q_+ \\ \alpha_-(q)^\psi = -\mathrm{Tr}\tfrac{\gamma_-}{i\gamma_\mu q^\mu - i\lambda^2 q_+}, \quad \alpha(q)^\psi = -\mathrm{Tr}\tfrac{1}{i\gamma_\mu q^\mu + \lambda\sqrt{2q_+q_-}}\end{aligned} \tag{6.5}$$

and the propagator for bosonic theory after including large$-N$ corrections

$$\alpha_B(p) = \frac{1}{p^2} \tag{6.6}$$

For the fermonic propagator after including large N corrections

$$\alpha_F(p) = \frac{-i\Big(\gamma_-(p)_+(1-\lambda^2) + (p)_-\gamma_+ + (p)_3\gamma_3\Big) + \lambda(2p_+p_-)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{p^2} \tag{6.7}$$

6.4 1-loop contribution to subleading order in $\frac{1}{N}$

Reminding ourselves of the gap equations for fermionic theory

$$\Sigma_{F,+}(p) = -2\pi i\lambda \int d^3x \frac{1}{\partial_-}\mathrm{Tr}(\frac{1}{\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu+\Sigma_F+\frac{2\pi}{k\partial_-}(\mathcal{F}^b_{+-}T^b\gamma_-+\mathcal{F}^b_{-3}T^b\gamma_3)}),$$
$$\Sigma_{F,I}(p) = 2\pi i\lambda \int d^3x \frac{1}{\partial_-}\mathrm{Tr}(\frac{\gamma_-}{\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu+\Sigma_F+\frac{2\pi}{k\partial_-}(\mathcal{F}^b_{+-}T^b\gamma_-+\mathcal{F}^b_{-3}T^b\gamma_3)}), \tag{6.8}$$

with $\Sigma_F = \Sigma_+\gamma_- + \Sigma_0$ and for bosonic theory

$$\Sigma_B(p) = \int \frac{d^3q_1}{(2\pi)^3}\frac{d^3q_2}{(2\pi)^3}\Big[C_2(p,q_1,q_2)+C_2(q_1,p,q_2)+C_2(q_1,q_2,p)\Big]\alpha_B(q_1)\alpha_B(q_2), \tag{6.9}$$

where in position space α_B can be written as

$$\alpha_B = \mathrm{Tr}\frac{1}{\partial^2+\Sigma_B+\frac{2\pi}{k\partial_-}(\mathcal{F}^b_{+-}T^b\gamma_-+\mathcal{F}^b_{-3}T^b\gamma_3)+\frac{(2\pi)^2}{(k\partial_-)^2}\mathcal{F}^a_{-3}\mathcal{F}^b_{-3}T^aT^b}, \tag{6.10}$$

where now the Tr is a trace on both the position space and color space.
Next making the shifts around the saddle points (6.5)

$$\Sigma_+ \to \Sigma_+ - i\lambda^2 q_+, \qquad \Sigma_0 \to \Sigma_0 + \lambda q_s, \tag{6.11}$$

where now Σ_+, Σ_0 are of order $\mathcal{O}(\frac{1}{N})$

$$\begin{aligned} S^\psi_{eff} &= NV\bigg(-\int d^3x \log\det(\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu - i\lambda^2\partial_+\gamma_- + \lambda\partial_s - \frac{2\pi}{k\partial_-}(\mathcal{F}^b_{+-}T^b\gamma_- + \mathcal{F}^b_{-3}T^b\gamma_3) + \gamma_-\Sigma_+ + \Sigma_0) \\ &+ \int \frac{d^3p_1}{(2\pi)^3}\frac{d^3p_2}{(2\pi)^3}\frac{8\pi iN}{k(p_1-p_2)_-}\alpha_-(p_1)\alpha_0(p_2)) \\ &+ \int \frac{d^3q}{(2\pi)^3}(-2(\Sigma_+(q)-i\lambda^2q_+)\alpha_-(q) - 2(\Sigma_0(q)+\lambda q_s)\alpha_0(q))\bigg) \end{aligned} \tag{6.12}$$

So the fermion effective action evaluated at the large$-N$ ($N \to \infty$) saddle points becomes

$$\begin{aligned} S^\psi_{eff}|_{saddle} &= NV\bigg(-\int d^3x \log\det(\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu - i\lambda^2\partial_+\gamma_- + \lambda\partial_s - \frac{2\pi}{k\partial_-}(\mathcal{F}^b_{+-}T^b\gamma_- + \mathcal{F}^b_{-3}T^b\gamma_3)) \\ &+ \int \frac{d^3p_1}{(2\pi)^3}\frac{d^3p_2}{(2\pi)^3}\frac{8\pi iN}{k(p_1-p_2)_-}\alpha_-(p_1)\alpha_0(p_2)) \\ &+ \int \frac{d^3q}{(2\pi)^3}(2i\lambda^2q_+\alpha_-(q) - 2\lambda q_s\alpha_0(q))\bigg). \end{aligned} \tag{6.13}$$

Similarly, expanding around the large N saddle point of bosonic auxiliary field Σ_B

$$\begin{aligned} S^\phi_{eff} &= NV\bigg[\int d^3x \mathrm{Tr}\log(\partial_s^2+\partial_3^2+\Sigma_B+\frac{2\pi}{k\partial_-}(\mathcal{F}^b_{+-}T^b\gamma_- + \mathcal{F}^b_{-3}T^b\gamma_3) + \frac{(2\pi)^2}{(k\partial_-)^2}\mathcal{F}^a_{-3}\mathcal{F}^b_{-3}T^aT^b) \\ &+ \int \frac{d^3q_1}{(2\pi)^3}\frac{d^3q_2}{(2\pi)^3}\frac{d^3q_3}{(2\pi)^3}C_2(q_1,q_2,q_3)\alpha_B(q_1)\alpha_B(q_2)\alpha_B(q_3) - \int\frac{d^3q}{(2\pi)^3}\Sigma_B(q)\alpha_B(q)\bigg], \end{aligned} \tag{6.14}$$

where Σ_B is of order $\mathcal{O}(\frac{1}{N})$. Now at the large N ($N \to \infty$) saddle point $\Sigma_B = 0$

$$\begin{aligned} S^{\phi}_{eff}|_{saddle} &= NV\bigg[\int d^3x \mathrm{Tr}\log(\partial_s^2+\partial_3^2+\frac{2\pi}{k\partial_-}(\mathcal{F}^b_{+-}T^b\gamma_- + \mathcal{F}^b_{-3}T^b\gamma_3)+\frac{(2\pi)^2}{(k\partial_-)^2}\mathcal{F}^a_{-3}\mathcal{F}^b_{-3}T^aT^b) \\ &+ \int \frac{d^3q_1}{(2\pi)^3}\frac{d^3q_2}{(2\pi)^3}\frac{d^3q_3}{(2\pi)^3}C_2(q_1,q_2,q_3)\alpha_B(q_1)\alpha_B(q_2)\alpha_B(q_3)\bigg]. \end{aligned} \tag{6.15}$$

6.5 A small digression to Functional Determinants

The functional determinants arising in QFT calculations can be expanded in terms of Feynman diagrams. For example the differential operator for a massive Dirac field in the background of auxiliary field $\phi(x)$ can be written as

$$Z(\phi) = Det(-i\partial_\mu\gamma^\mu + m - g\phi(x)) = e^{-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{n}\mathrm{Tr}G^n} \tag{6.16}$$

upto a factor which is independent of the background field $\phi(x)$. Where

$$\mathrm{Tr}G^n = g^n\int d^3x_1d^3x_2...d^3x_n tr(S(x_1-x_2)\phi(x_2)...S(x_n-x_1)\phi(x_1)) \tag{6.17}$$

where S is the free field Dirac propagator $S(p) = \frac{1}{i\gamma_\mu p^\mu + m}$.
This is precisely the diagrammatic approach we develop in appendix (D) as adapted to our case.

7 Evaluation of the Effective actions at the saddle points

It is obvious from the saddle point solutions (6.5) that the fermonic saddle point depends on the large N 't Hooft coupling constant $\lambda = \frac{N}{k}$, whereas the bosonic saddle point is trivial. As a consequence when the fermonic effective action S^{ψ}_{eff} is evaluated at the saddle point and one tries to get the effective interactions for the dual field Λ by evaluating $1-point$, $2-point...n-point$ functions, certain extra interactions are generated which depend on the various powers of the coupling constant λ. However, it can be shown that these extra Feynman integrals vanish.
To elaborate let us consider S^{ψ}_{eff}

$$\begin{aligned} S^{\psi}_{eff}|_{saddle} &= NV\bigg(-\int d^3x \mathrm{Tr}\log(\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu - i\lambda^2\partial_+\gamma_- + \lambda\partial_s) \\ &+ \mathrm{Tr}\log(1-\frac{1}{(\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu - i\lambda^2\partial_+\gamma_- + \lambda\partial_s)}\frac{2\pi}{k\partial_-}(\mathcal{F}^b_{+-}T^b\gamma_- + \mathcal{F}^b_{-3}T^b\gamma_3)) \\ &+ \int \frac{d^3p_1}{(2\pi)^3}\frac{d^3p_2}{(2\pi)^3}\frac{8\pi iN}{k(p_1-p_2)_-}\alpha_-(p_1)\alpha_0(p_2)) \\ &+ \int \frac{d^3q}{(2\pi)^3}(2i\lambda^2 q_+\alpha_-(q) - 2\lambda q_s\alpha_0(q)) + \mathcal{O}(\frac{1}{N}) \quad terms\bigg). \end{aligned} \tag{7.1}$$

Now, the second line in eq. (7.1) can be evaluated by Feynman diagram technique to find $n-point$ function of the dual field Λ and obviously these diagrams will contain λ dependence arising from the full fermonic propagator. However, the claim made above is that at least to order $\mathcal{O}(\frac{1}{N})$ in the diagrammatic expansion this fermonic propagator can be replaced by the free propagator in the second line.

$$\begin{aligned} S^{\psi}_{eff}|_{saddle} &= NV\Big(-\int d^3x \mathrm{Tr}\log(\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu - i\lambda^2\partial_+\gamma_- + \lambda\partial_s) \\ &+ \mathrm{Tr}\log(1-\frac{1}{(\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu)}\frac{2\pi}{k\partial_-}(\mathcal{F}^b_{+-}T^b\gamma_- + \mathcal{F}^b_{-3}T^b\gamma_3)) \\ &+ \int \frac{d^3p_1}{(2\pi)^3}\frac{d^3p_2}{(2\pi)^3}\frac{8\pi i N}{k(p_1-p_2)_-}\alpha_-(p_1)\alpha_0(p_2)) \\ &+ \int \frac{d^3q}{(2\pi)^3}(2i\lambda^2 q_+\alpha_-(q) - 2\lambda q_s\alpha_0(q)) + \mathcal{O}(\frac{1}{N}) \quad terms\Big) \end{aligned} \tag{7.2}$$

Now we write the bosonic action to make a comparison

$$\begin{aligned} S^{\phi}_{eff}|_{saddle} &= NV\Big[\int d^3x \mathrm{Tr}\log(\partial_s^2+\partial_3^2) \\ &+ \mathrm{Tr}\log(1+\frac{1}{\partial_s^2+\partial_3^2}(\frac{2\pi}{k\partial_-}(\mathcal{F}^b_{+-}T^b\gamma_- + \mathcal{F}^b_{-3}T^b\gamma_3) + \frac{(2\pi)^2}{(k\partial_-)^2}\mathcal{F}^a_{-3}\mathcal{F}^b_{-3}T^aT^b)) \\ &+ \int \frac{d^3q_1}{(2\pi)^3}\frac{d^3q_2}{(2\pi)^3}\frac{d^3q_3}{(2\pi)^3}C_2(q_1,q_2,q_3)\alpha_B(q_1)\alpha_B(q_2)\alpha_B(q_3) + \mathcal{O}(\frac{1}{N}) \quad terms\Big] \end{aligned} \tag{7.3}$$

It is clear that the two effective actions for Λ differ in the term $\frac{(2\pi)^2}{(k\partial_-)^2}\mathcal{F}^a_{-3}\mathcal{F}^b_{-3}T^aT^b$ This term to leading order in large N generates a tadpole diagram which gives zero contribution in the Dimensional regularization scheme which we use. However, from next to leading order in the large N expansion this vertex generates Feynman diagrams which have no counter part in the fermonic theory. Therefore the theories start deviating from duality at this order.

There is an important and rather crucial point here. When the vertex $\frac{(2\pi)^2}{(k\partial_-)^2}\mathcal{F}^a_{-3}\mathcal{F}^b_{-3}T^aT^b$ is not present, the set of diagrams generated might match. One could argue that this is indeed what happens for the critical theory because the origin of this vertex is the same as that of $(\bar{\phi}\phi)^2$ coupling which arises from integrating out the Chern Simons gauge field $A^a_\mu(x)$ in the bosonic action. It will be interesting to analyze this issue in more detail but it goes beyond our interests.

8 Conclusions

In this manuscript we have used a constructive approach to investigate connections among 3D vector theories. We have, in particular, addressed some aspects of bosonization in three dimensions and the level/rank duality. We have considered integration in various limits but most prominently the large N limit employing some of the standard techniques. Besides defining formally the dual theories to the fermionic and scalar

$U(N)$ vector models we were able to identify the two dual theories and show that they agree in the large N limit exhibiting explicitly a level/rank duality for these systems. This provides a concrete evidence for the validity of the conjectured duality among the different vector models in the large N limit.

There are a number of questions that would be interesting to pursue. The large N limit always raises the question of $1/N$ corrections. We have shown that the large N limit is well defined. A deeper study of $1/N$ corrections, for which our work is a first step, is particularly pressing given the claims that the level/rank duality with matter might extend to finite N [10, 16]. In sections 6 and 7 we present an admittedly scant approach to the effective actions beyond the leading order in N. We find that, generically, the effective fermionic and bosonic actions do differ at this level. The main culprit being the contribution of a particular vertex in the diagrammatic approach as elaborated in appendix (D). We have provided a scant evidence that the duality fails to hold beyond the large N leading order.

Given that one of the strongest evidence for level/rank duality with matter consists in the matching of the corresponding finite temperature free energies [12, 11, 16], it would be interesting to consider the path integral dualization procedure used here for finite temperature backgrounds. It was pointed almost two decades ago [32, 22] that the dualization procedure in non-contractible spaces, where pure gauge connections are not necessarily trivial, need a careful treatment. This pertains, in particular, for spaces with $\pi_1(X) \neq 0$ which is precisely the case with the thermal circle. We hope to return to this interesting question in the near future.

Lastly, even though our approach is consistent with the vector model/higher spin duality, it does not directly address this duality. One important question would be to identify the role of the extra dimension in Vasiliev's theory under these dualities. There are some tantalizing hints connecting the non-local aspects of the duality with a higher dimensional theory. It would be very interesting to pursue this line. Although a more covariant approach, than the one presented here and rooted in the light-cone gauge, would arguably be required.

Acknowledgments

We are particularly thankful to Guillermo Silva for various piercing comments. We are grateful to Aki Hashimoto, Yolanda Lozano, Shiraz Minwalla, José Francisco Morales, Jeff Murugan, Cheng Peng, Guilherme Pimentel and Cobi Sonnenschein for comments.

A Integrating fermions and Chern-Simons theory

The integral over fermions in 3d is a fairly standard computation, see for example [30]. We reproduce part of it here just to render the manuscript more self-contained and to

clarify our normalizations.

$$\begin{aligned} S_{eff}[A,m] &= N_f \ln\det(i\not\partial + \not A + m) \\ &= N_f \ln\det\left[(i\not\partial+m)(1+(i\not\partial+m)^{-1}\not A)\right] \\ &= N_f \ln\det(i\not\partial+m) + N_f \log\det(1+(i\not\partial+m)^{-1}\not A) \\ &\sim N_f \ln\det(1+(i\not\partial+m)^{-1}\not A), \end{aligned} \tag{A.1}$$

where the first term in the penultimate line can be neglected because it is A independent and we are looking for an effective theory of A. Expanding the determinant:

$$\begin{aligned} S_{eff}[A,m] &= N_f \mathrm{Tr}\ln(1+(i\not\partial+m)^{-1}\not A) \\ &\approx N_f \mathrm{Tr}\left(\frac{1}{i\not\partial+m}\not A\right) - \frac{1}{2}N_f\mathrm{Tr}\left(\frac{1}{i\not\partial+m}\not A\frac{1}{i\not\partial+m}\not A\right)+\ldots \end{aligned} \tag{A.2}$$

The first term corresponds to a tadpole, the second term contributes to

$$\begin{aligned} S_{eff}^{quadratic}[A,m] &= \frac{1}{2}N_f\int\frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3}A_\mu(-p)\Gamma^{\mu\nu}(p,m)A_\nu(p), \\ \Gamma^{\mu\nu}(p,m) &= \int\frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3}\mathrm{Tr}\gamma^\mu\frac{\not p+\not k-m}{(p+k)^2+m^2}\gamma^\nu\frac{\not k-m}{k^2+m^2}. \end{aligned} \tag{A.3}$$

The parity odd part can be computed exactly in the large mass ($m\to\infty$), long wavelength limit ($p\to 0$).

$$\Gamma^{\mu\nu}(p,m) \sim \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho}p_\rho\frac{1}{4\pi}\frac{m}{|m|}+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{p^2}{m^2}\right). \tag{A.4}$$

The three gluon diagram leads to

$$S_{eff}^{cubic}[A,m] = \frac{N_f}{3}\int\frac{d^3p_1d^3p_2}{(2\pi)^6}A_\mu(p_1)A_\nu(p_2)A_\rho(-p_1-p_2)\Gamma^{\mu\nu\rho}(p_1,p_2,m), \tag{A.5}$$

$$\Gamma^{\mu\nu\rho}(p_1,p_2,m) = \int\frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3}\mathrm{Tr}\gamma^\mu\frac{\not p_1+\not k-m}{(p_1+k)^2+m^2}\gamma^\nu\frac{\not p_1+\not p_2+\not k-m}{(p_1+p_2+k)^2+m^2}\gamma^\rho\frac{\not k-m}{k^2+m^2},$$

The relevant odd component, in the large mass limit ($m\gg p_1,p_2$):

$$\Gamma^{\mu\nu\rho}_{odd}(p_1,p_2,m)\sim -i\frac{1}{4\pi}\frac{m}{|m|}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho}+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{p^2}{m^2}\right). \tag{A.6}$$

B Functional integration and an obstruction

Schematically the integrals that are being computed are often of the form:

$$\begin{aligned} &\int DA^a_+DA^a_3\exp\left(A^a_+(f^{abc}\Lambda^c_-A^b_3+J^a_-+\mathcal{F}^a_{-3})+A^a_3(J^a_3+\mathcal{F}^a_{+-})\right) \\ =\ &\int DA^a_3\delta(f^{abc}\Lambda^c_-A^b_3+J^a_-+\mathcal{F}^a_{-3})\exp\left(A^a_3(J^a_3+\mathcal{F}^a_{+-})\right) \\ =\ &\left(\det(f^{ab}{}_c\Lambda^c_-)\right)^{-1}\exp\left(M^{ab}(J^a_-+\mathcal{F}^a_{-3})(J^a_3+\mathcal{F}^a_{+-}\right). \end{aligned} \tag{B.1}$$

Note that the last integration over A_3^a requires that we solve the argument of the delta function but then the results will contain $\det^{-1}(f^{ab}{}_c\Lambda_-^c)$. Note that this contribution to the measure is reinterpreted in two-dimensions as a dilaton shift. Namely,

$$\Phi \mapsto \Phi - \frac{1}{2}\ln\det M^{ab}. \tag{B.2}$$

B.1 Fermions: A singularity for light-cone integration without Chern-Simons

When treating gauge fields in three dimensions there are usually significant simplifications in the light-cone gauge; this can be seen in the Yang-Mills case [33] but also in the Chern-Simons coupled to matter context that we are interested in [12]. Here we consider a fermionic action without Chern-Simons coupling ($k \to 0$). Given the expected simplifications it is tempting to attempt to first of all integrate the gauge field in the master action (3.4). In the light-cone gauge, $A_- = 0$, the action takes the form ($k = 0$):

$$\begin{aligned} S &= \bar\psi \not\partial \psi + V(\bar\psi\psi) \\ &+ A_+^a \left(J_-^a + \mathcal{F}_{-3}^a + f_{abc}\,\Lambda_-^b A_3^c\right) + A_3^a \left(J_3^a + \mathcal{F}_{+-}^a\right), \end{aligned} \tag{B.3}$$

where $J_\mu^a = -i\bar\psi\gamma_\mu T^a\psi$ and $\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}^a = \partial_\mu\Lambda_\nu^a - \partial_\nu\Lambda_\mu^a$. The resulting action is linear in A_+ and we could consider integrating it out as in the previous subsection which would yield

$$\mathcal{F}_{-3}^a + J_-^a - f^a{}_{bc}A_3^b\Lambda_-^c = 0. \tag{B.4}$$

However, the matrix $f_{ab} = f_{abc}\Lambda_-^c$ is not invertible. One can check that its determinant vanishes[1]. Similar structures with the corresponding determinants appear in the context of non-Abelian T-duaity in string theory [34, 22, 35].

The obstruction above, nevertheless, teaches us an important lesson about the intermediate structure of the dual theory. If we were able to solve Eq. (B.4) we would obtain that $A_3^a \sim J_-^a + \mathcal{F}_{-3}^a$; substituting this back into the action would lead to four-fermion interactions. The singularity also teaches us that ($k \neq 0$), that is, coupling to a Chern-Simons term precisely provides the regularization we seek that allows to invert Eq. (B.4) and that, indeed, eliminating the gauge field leads to an intermediate action containing four-fermion interactions arising as the product of fermionic currents.

B.2 Bosons: A singularity for light-cone integration without Chern-Simons

Let us show that, as in the fermionic case, there is an obstruction to using the light-cone gauge and integrating the gauge field degrees of freedom in the absence of a Chern-Simons term ($k \to 0$). One might have expected that perhaps the difference

[1]We thank Y. Lozano for important comments regarding gauge invariance on this point.

in couplings could have ameliorated this problem. In the light-cone gauge the action becomes ($k = 0$):

$$\begin{aligned} S &= \int d^3x \Big[-\bar{\phi}\left(2\partial_+\partial_- + \partial_3^2\right)\phi + A_+^a J_-^a + A_3^a J_3^a + A_3^a A_3^b \bar{\phi} T^a T^b \phi \\ &- \partial_- A_+^a \Lambda_3^a + \partial_- A_3^a \Lambda_+^a + (\partial_3 A_+^a - \partial_+ A_3^a + f_{abc} A_3^b A_+^c)\Lambda_-^a \Big]. \end{aligned} \tag{B.5}$$

where J_μ^a was defined above in Eq. (4.5).

The action is linear in A_+^a whose equation of motion leads to

$$\mathcal{F}_{-3}^a + J_-^a - f^a{}_{bc} A_3^b \Lambda_-^c = 0. \tag{B.6}$$

This equation is similar to Eq. (B.4), the only difference is the construction the current which was fermionic in the case of Eq. (B.4) and it is bosonic here. The problem is, as before, that $f_{ab} = f_{abc}\Lambda_-^c$ is not invertible. Note that the presence of quadratic in A_3^a terms which are absent in the fermionic case do not modify the above statement as it relies only in integration over A_+^a.

We speculate that this singular limit, $k \to 0$, is teaching us about the scalings for which the theory can ultimately be well defined. It would be particularly interesting to return to this question in the face of claims that the level/rank duality with matter holds for finite N [12, 11, 16]. Clearly, the scaling of k becomes an important issue.

C Momentum space actions

We consider the presentation of various actions in momentum space. We use:

$$\Phi(x) = \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3} e^{ipx} \Phi(p). \tag{C.1}$$

The action in momentum space following from a fundamental fermion coupled to $U(N)$ level k Chern-Simons action in the light-cone gauge was discussed in [14] in a slightly more general setup of supersymmetric theories.

$$S = \int d^3x \left(\bar{\psi}\not{\partial}\psi + A_3^a J_3^a\right), \tag{C.2}$$

where A_3^a satisfies the equation

$$\frac{k}{2\pi}\partial_- A_3^a + J_-^a = 0. \tag{C.3}$$

In momentum space the above equation is solved by

$$A_3^a(p) = \frac{2\pi i}{k\, p_-} J_-^a(p). \tag{C.4}$$

Plugging this expression in the above action, it can be rewritten as:

$$\begin{aligned} S &= \int \frac{d^3q}{(2\pi)^3}\bar{\psi}(-q)\not{q}\psi(q) \\ &+ \mathrm{Tr}\int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3}\frac{d^3r}{(2\pi)^3}\frac{d^3s}{(2\pi)^3}\frac{-2\pi i}{kp_-}\bar{\psi}(-r)\gamma_- T^a\psi(p+r)\bar{\psi}(-s)\gamma_3 T^a\psi(-p+s) \end{aligned} \tag{C.5}$$

The full action that we consider in the main text is:

$$\begin{aligned} S &= \int d^3x\left[\frac{ik}{4\pi}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho}\mathrm{Tr}\left(A_\mu\partial_\nu A_\rho - \frac{2i}{3}A_\mu A_\nu A_\rho\right)\right. \\ &+ \left.\bar{\psi}\left(\not{\partial} - iA^a_\mu T^a\gamma^\mu + m\right)\psi + V(\bar{\psi}\psi) + \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho}\mathrm{Tr}(F_{\mu\nu}\Lambda_\rho)\right]. \end{aligned} \tag{C.6}$$

The momentum space equation for $A^a_3(p)$ is

$$\frac{k}{2\pi}\delta^{ab}\, ip_-\, A^b_3(p) - f^{ab}{}_c\int\frac{d^3q}{(2\pi)^3}\Lambda_-(q)^c\, A^b_3(p-q) + J^a_-(p) - \mathcal{F}^a_{-3}(p) = 0. \tag{C.7}$$

C.1 Perturbative solution in Λ:

Assuming that the zeroth oder solution, that is, the solution without Λ is known: $A^a_3(p) = 2\pi i J^a_-(p)/(k\,p_-)$. Then, the Neumann series solution of the above integral equations for $A^a_3(p)$,upto third order in $\frac{1}{k}$ (where k is the Chern-Simons level), will be

$$\begin{aligned} A_3(p)^a =& \frac{2\pi i}{k\,p_-}J^a_-(p) \\ &+\frac{2\pi i}{k\,p_-}\mathcal{F}^a_{3-}(p) - \frac{2\pi i}{k\,p_-}f^a{}_{bc}\int\frac{d^3q}{(2\pi)^3}\Lambda^c_-(q)\frac{2\pi i}{k\,(p-q)_-}J^b_-(p-q) \\ &-\frac{2\pi i}{k\,p_-}f^a{}_{bc}\int\frac{d^3q}{(2\pi)^3}\Lambda^c_-(q)\frac{2\pi i}{k\,(p-q)_-}\mathcal{F}^b_{3-}(p-q)) \\ +\frac{2\pi i}{kp_-}f^{aa_1a_2}f^{a_1a_3a_4}&\int\frac{d^3p_1}{(2\pi)^3}\frac{d^3p_2}{(2\pi)^3}\Lambda^{a_2}_-(p_1)\Lambda^{a_4}_-(p_2)\frac{2\pi i}{k\,(p-p_1)_-}\frac{2\pi i}{k\,(p-p_1-p_2)_-}J^{a_3}_-(p-p_1-p_2)) \\ +\frac{2\pi i}{kp_-}f^{aa_1a_2}f^{a_1a_3a_4}&\int\frac{d^3p_1}{(2\pi)^3}\frac{d^3p_2}{(2\pi)^3}\Lambda^{a_2}_-(p_1)\Lambda^{a_4}_-(p_2)\frac{2\pi i}{k\,(p-p_1)_-}\frac{2\pi i}{k\,(p-p_1-p_2)_-}\mathcal{F}^{a_3}_{3-}(p-p_1-p_2) \end{aligned} \tag{C.8}$$

Note that in the above equation, the first line is the zeroth (no factors of Λ) order solution. The first line contains one power of Λ while the second and third contain two powers of Λ. The third line contains three powers of Λ; this way of organizing the expansion teaches us the general form in which powers of Λ can appear, including when they appear only through $\mathcal{F}_{3-}$.

A schematic form of the solution for A_3 is as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} A_3(p) &= \frac{1}{kp_-}J_- \\ &+ \frac{1}{kp_-}\mathcal{F}_{3-} + \frac{1}{k^2}f\int \Lambda_- J_- \\ &+ \frac{1}{k^2}f\int \Lambda_-\mathcal{F}_{3-} + \frac{1}{k^3}f^2\int (\Lambda_-)^2 J_- \\ &+ \frac{1}{k^3}f^2\int (\Lambda_-)^2\mathcal{F}_{3-} + \frac{1}{k^4}f^3\int (\Lambda_-)^3 J_- \\ &+ \ldots + ... \\ &+ \frac{1}{k^n}f^{n-1}\int (\Lambda_-)^{n-1}\mathcal{F}_{3-} + \frac{1}{k^{n+1}}f^n\int (\Lambda_-)^n J_- \end{aligned} \tag{C.9}$$

After plugging the solution given by Eq. (C.8) back into the action we will analyze the terms of order $\frac{1}{k^2}$ and $\frac{1}{k^3}$ and show that they are subleasing in the Large-N limit in the singlet sector of the theory. The key identity we will use repeatedly is

$$\begin{aligned} (T^a)^i_j(T^b)^k_l = \frac{\delta^{ab}}{N^2-1}(\delta^i_l\delta^k_j - \frac{1}{N}\delta^i_j\delta^k_l) + \frac{N}{2(N^2-4)}d^{abc}(T^c)^i_l\delta^k_j + \\ \frac{N}{2(N^2-4)}d^{abc}(T^c)^k_j\delta^i_l - \frac{1}{N^2-4}d^{abc}(T^c)^i_j\delta^k_l - \frac{1}{N^2-4}d^{abc}(T^c)^k_l\delta^i_j \\ + \frac{i}{2N}f^{abc}T^c)^i_l\delta^k_j - \frac{i}{2N}f^{abc}T^c)^k_j\delta^i_l \end{aligned} \tag{C.10}$$

Before writing out the result of plugging the expression for A_3 in Eq. (C.8) in the action let us first describe, schematically, the possible terms:

$$\begin{aligned} S &= S^{(A)} + S^{(B)} \\ &= A^a_3 J^a_3 + A_3\bar{\phi}A_3\phi \end{aligned} \tag{C.11}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} S^{(A)} &= \frac{1}{k}J_-J_3 \\ &+ \frac{1}{k}\mathcal{F}_{3-}J_3 + \frac{1}{k^2}f\Lambda_-J_-J_3 \\ &+ \frac{1}{k^2}f\Lambda_-\mathcal{F}_{3-}J_3 + \frac{1}{k^3}f^2(\Lambda_-)^2J_-J_3 \\ &+ \ldots \\ &+ \frac{1}{k^n}f^{n-1}(\Lambda_-)^{n-1}\mathcal{F}_{3-}J_3 + \frac{1}{k^{n+1}}f^n\ (\Lambda_-)^nJ_-J_3 \end{aligned} \tag{C.12}$$

This structure follows immediately from the structure of the solution of A_3 in Eq. (C.9). Also

$$
\begin{aligned}
S^{(B)}_{0,1,2} &= \frac{1}{k^2} J_- \bar{\phi} J_- \phi \\
&+ \frac{1}{k^2} \mathcal{F}_{3-} \bar{\phi} J_- \phi + \frac{1}{k^2} \mathcal{F}_{3-} \bar{\phi} \mathcal{F}_{3-} \phi + \frac{1}{k^3} f \Lambda_- J_- \bar{\phi} J_- \phi \\
&+ \frac{1}{k^3} \mathcal{F}_{3-} \bar{\phi} f \Lambda_- J_- \phi + \frac{1}{k^3} f \Lambda_- \mathcal{F}_{3-} \bar{\phi} J_- \phi + \frac{1}{k^4} f^2 (\Lambda_-)^2 J_- \bar{\phi} J_- \phi
\end{aligned}
\tag{C.13}
$$

The above expression contains the zeroth, first and second order corresponding to each line. Terms begin to proliferate starting at third order where we have contribution from products of first and second order terms in addition to intrinsically third order terms in A_3:

$$
\begin{aligned}
S^{(B)}_{3} &= \frac{1}{k^4} f^2 (\Lambda_-)^2 \mathcal{F}_{3-} \bar{\phi} J_- \phi + \frac{1}{k^5} f^3 (\Lambda_-)^3 J_- \bar{\phi} J_- \phi \\
&+ \frac{1}{k^3} \mathcal{F}_{3-} \bar{\phi} f \Lambda_- \mathcal{F}_{3-} \phi + \frac{1}{k^4} \mathcal{F}_{3-} \bar{\phi} f^2 (\Lambda_-)^2 J_- \phi \\
&+ \frac{1}{k^4} f \, \Lambda_- J_- \bar{\phi} f \Lambda_- \mathcal{F}_{3-} \phi + \frac{1}{k^5} f \Lambda_- J_- \bar{\phi} f^2 (\Lambda_-)^2 J_- \phi
\end{aligned}
\tag{C.14}
$$

Let us now present the explicit form of some of the schematic terms above with all the indices and momentum factors:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{k^3} f \Lambda_- J_- \bar{\phi} J_- \phi \implies & \frac{1}{4} \int \frac{d^3p d^3q d^3r d^3p_1 d^3\omega_1 d^3\omega_2}{(2\pi)^{18}} \frac{2\pi i}{kp_-} \frac{2\pi i}{kq_-} \frac{2\pi i}{k(p-p_1)_-} (2\omega_1 + p - p_1)_- (2\omega_2 + q)_- \\
& [\bar{\phi}(r)\phi(\omega_1 + p - p_1)][\bar{\phi}(-\omega_1)\Lambda(p_1)\phi(\omega_2 + q)][\bar{\phi}(-\omega_2)\phi(-p-q-r)] \\
& - \frac{1}{4} \int \frac{d^3p d^3q d^3r d^3p_1 d^3\omega_1 d^3\omega_2}{(2\pi)^{18}} \frac{2\pi i}{kp_-} \frac{2\pi i}{kq_-} \frac{2\pi i}{k(p-p_1)_-} (2\omega_1 + p - p_1)_- (2\omega_2 + q)_- \\
& [\bar{\phi}(-\omega_1)\phi(\omega_2 + q)][\bar{\phi}(r)\Lambda(p_1)\phi(\omega_1 + p - p_1)][\bar{\phi}(-\omega_2)\phi(-p-q-r)]
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{k^2} \mathcal{F}_{3-} \bar{\phi} J_- \phi \implies & -\frac{1}{2} \int \frac{d^3p d^3q d^3r d^3\omega_1}{(2\pi)^{12}} \frac{2\pi i}{kp_-} \frac{2\pi i}{kq_-} (2\omega_1 + p)_- \\
& [\bar{\phi}(-\omega_1)\mathcal{F}_{3-}(q)\phi(-p-q-r)][\bar{\phi}(r)\phi(\omega_1 + p)] \\
& - \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{d^3p d^3q d^3r d^3\omega_1}{(2\pi)^{12}} \frac{2\pi i}{kp_-} \frac{2\pi i}{kq_-} (2\omega_1 + q)_- \\
& [\bar{\phi}(r)\mathcal{F}_{3-}(p)\phi(\omega_1 + q)][\bar{\phi}(-\omega_1)\phi(-p-q-r)]
\end{aligned}
\tag{C.15}
$$

Also:

$$\frac{1}{k^3}\mathcal{F}_{3-}\bar{\phi}f\Lambda_- J_-\phi + \frac{1}{k^3}f\Lambda_-\mathcal{F}_{3-}\bar{\phi}J_-\phi \Longrightarrow$$

$$\frac{1}{2}\int \frac{d^3pd^3qd^3rd^3p_1d^3\omega_1}{(2\pi)^{15}}\frac{2\pi i}{kp_-}\frac{2\pi i}{kq_-}\frac{2\pi i}{k(p-p_1)_-}(2\omega_1+p-p_1)$$
$$[\bar{\phi}(r)\phi(\omega_1+p-p_1)][\bar{\phi}(-\omega_1)\Lambda(p_1)\mathcal{F}_{3-}(q)\phi(-p-q-r)]$$

$$+\frac{1}{2}\int \frac{d^3pd^3qd^3rd^3p_1d^3\omega_1}{(2\pi)^{15}}\frac{2\pi i}{kp_-}\frac{2\pi i}{kq_-}\frac{2\pi i}{k(p-p_1)_-}(2\omega_1+q)$$
$$[\bar{\phi}(-\omega_1)\phi(-p-q-r)][\bar{\phi}(r)\mathcal{F}_{3-}(p-p_1)\Lambda(p_1)\phi(\omega_1+q]$$

$$-\frac{1}{2}\int \frac{d^3pd^3qd^3rd^3p_1d^3\omega_1}{(2\pi)^{15}}\frac{2\pi i}{kp_-}\frac{2\pi i}{kq_-}\frac{2\pi i}{k(p-p_1)_-}(2\omega_1+p-p_1)$$
$$[\bar{\phi}(r)\Lambda(p_1)\phi(\omega_1+p-p_1)][\bar{\phi}(-\omega_1)\mathcal{F}_{3-}(q)\phi(-p-q-r)]$$

$$-\frac{1}{2}\int \frac{d^3pd^3qd^3rd^3p_1d^3\omega_1}{(2\pi)^{15}}\frac{2\pi i}{kp_-}\frac{2\pi i}{kq_-}\frac{2\pi i}{k(p-p_1)_-}(2\omega_1+q) \tag{C.16}$$
$$[\bar{\phi}(-\omega_1)\phi(-p-q-r)][\bar{\phi}(r)\Lambda(p_1)\mathcal{F}_{3-}(p-p_1)\phi(\omega_1+q)]$$
$$\frac{1}{4}\int \frac{d^3pd^3qd^3rd^3q_1d^3\omega_1d^3\omega_2}{(2\pi)^{18}}\frac{2\pi i}{kp_-}\frac{2\pi i}{kq_-}\frac{2\pi i}{k(q-q_1)_-}(2\omega_1+p)(2\omega_2+q-q_1)$$
$$[\bar{\phi}(r)\phi(\omega_1+p)][\bar{\phi}(-\omega_2)\Lambda(q_1)\phi(-p-q-r)][\bar{\phi}(-\omega_1)\phi(\omega_2+q-q_1)]$$

$$+\frac{1}{2}\int \frac{d^3pd^3qd^3rd^3q_1d^3\omega_1}{(2\pi)^{15}}\frac{2\pi i}{kp_-}\frac{2\pi i}{kq_-}\frac{2\pi i}{k(q-q_1)_-}$$
$$(2\omega_1+p)[\bar{\phi}(r)\phi(\omega_1+p)][\bar{\phi}(-\omega_1)\mathcal{F}_{3-}(q-q_1)\Lambda(q_1)\phi(-p-q-r)]+\ldots$$

When we plug in the perturbative solution for $A_3^a(p)$ in the action for the fundamental bosons and fermions, we observe that at nth order the types of terms involving Lagrange multiplier field Λ are exhausted by the following combinations in momentum space (Note that we will use Einstein summation convention):

For the fundamental boson action:

$$[\bar{\phi}T^{a_1}T^{a_2}T^{a_3}...T^{a_n}\phi\Lambda^{a_1}\Lambda^{a_2}\Lambda^{a_3}...\Lambda^{a_n}] \tag{C.17}$$

$$[\bar{\phi}T^{a_1}T^{a_2}T^{a_3}...T^{a_n}\phi\Lambda^{a_1}\Lambda^{a_2}\Lambda^{a_3}...\Lambda^{a_n}](\bar{\phi}\phi)$$
$$[\bar{\phi}T^{a_1}T^{a_2}T^{a_3}...T^{a_{n-m}}\phi\Lambda^{a_1}\Lambda^{a_2}\Lambda^{a_3}...\Lambda^{a_{n-m}}][\bar{\phi}T^{a_{n-m+1}}...T^{a_n}\phi\Lambda^{a_{n-m+1}}...\Lambda^{a_n}] \tag{C.18}$$

$$[\bar{\phi}T^{a_1}T^{a_2}T^{a_3}...T^{a_n}\phi\Lambda^{a_1}\Lambda^{a_2}\Lambda^{a_3}...\Lambda^{a_n}](\bar{\phi}\phi)(\bar{\phi}\phi)$$
$$[\bar{\phi}T^{a_1}T^{a_2}T^{a_3}...T^{a_{n-m}}\phi\Lambda^{a_1}\Lambda^{a_2}\Lambda^{a_3}...\Lambda^{a_{n-m}}][\bar{\phi}T^{a_{n-m+1}}...T^{a_n}\phi\Lambda^{a_{n-m+1}}...\Lambda^{a_n}](\bar{\phi}\phi)$$
$$[\bar{\phi}T^{a_1}T^{a_2}T^{a_3}...T^{a_{n-l}}\phi\Lambda^{a_1}\Lambda^{a_2}\Lambda^{a_3}...\Lambda^{a_{n-l}}][\bar{\phi}T^{a_{n-l+1}}...T^{a_n}\phi\Lambda^{a_{n-l+1}}...\Lambda^{a_m}]\times$$
$$[\bar{\phi}T^{a_{m+1}}...T^{a_n}\phi\Lambda^{a_{m+1}}...\Lambda^{a_n}] \tag{C.19}$$

For the fundamental fermion action:

$$[\bar{\psi}\gamma_3 T^{a_1}T^{a_2}T^{a_3}...T^{a_n}\psi\Lambda^{a_1}\Lambda^{a_2}\Lambda^{a_3}...\Lambda^{a_n}] \tag{C.20}$$

$$[\bar{\psi}\gamma_- T^{a_1}T^{a_2}T^{a_3}...T^{a_n}\phi\Lambda^{a_1}\Lambda^{a_2}\Lambda^{a_3}...\Lambda^{a_n}](\bar{\psi}\psi)$$
$$[\bar{\psi}\gamma_- T^{a_1}T^{a_2}T^{a_3}...T^{a_{n-m}}\psi\Lambda^{a_1}\Lambda^{a_2}\Lambda^{a_3}...\Lambda^{a_{n-m}}][\bar{\psi}T^{a_{n-m+1}}...T^{a_n}\psi\Lambda^{a_{n-m+1}}...\Lambda^{a_n}]$$
$$[\bar{\psi}\gamma_- T^{a_1}T^{a_2}T^{a_3}...T^{a_{n-m}}\psi\Lambda^{a_1}\Lambda^{a_2}\Lambda^{a_3}...\Lambda^{a_{n-m}}][\bar{\psi}\gamma_3 T^{a_{n-m+1}}...T^{a_n}\psi\Lambda^{a_{n-m+1}}...\Lambda^{a_n}] \tag{C.21}$$

where T^a is the generator of $SU(N)$ in the fundamental representation.
The universal piece:

$$\frac{1}{k}\mathcal{F}_{3-}\mathcal{F}_{+-} \tag{C.22}$$

C.2 Large N Analysis:

First we prove the following identity

$$(T^{a_1}T^{a_2}T^{a_3}...T^{a_n}) = \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{N}\right) + F^{a_1a_2b_1}F^{b_1a_3b_2}F^{b_2a_4b_3}...F^{b_{n-1}a_nb_n}T^{b_n} \tag{C.23}$$

where $a_1, ..., a_n, b_1, ..., b_n$ run from 1 to N^2-1 , $F^{abc} \equiv \frac{1}{2}(d^{abc} + if^{abc})$ with d^{abc}, f^{abc} being structure constants of $SU(N)$ Lie algebra.
Proof : First few cases are manifestly true

$$T^{a_1}T^{a_2} = \frac{\delta^{a_1a_2}}{N} + F^{a_1a_2b_1}T^{b_1}$$
$$T^{a_1}T^{a_2}T^{a_3} = \frac{\delta^{a_1a_2}T^{a_3}}{N} + \frac{F^{a_1a_2a_3}}{N} + F^{a_1a_2b_1}F^{b_1a_3b_2}T^{b_2}$$
$$T^{a_1}T^{a_2}T^{a_3}T^{a4} = \frac{\delta^{a_1a_2}\delta^{a_3a_4}}{N^2} + \frac{\delta^{a_1a_2}F^{a_3a_4b_3}T^{b_3}}{N} + \frac{F^{a_1a_2a_3}T^{a_4}}{N} + \frac{F^{a_1a_2b_1}F^{b_1a_3a_4}}{N}$$
$$+F^{a_1a_2b_1}F^{b_1a_3b_2}F^{b_2a_4b_3}T^{b_3} \tag{C.24}$$

Odd n:
Assume that the assertion is true for $T^{a_1}T^{a_2}T^{a_3}...T^{a_{n-1}}$ i.e.

$$T^{a_1}T^{a_2}T^{a_3}...T^{a_{n-1}} = \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{N^{\frac{n-1}{2}}}\right) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{N^{\frac{n-1}{2}-1}}\right) + ... + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{N}\right)$$
$$+F^{a_1a_2b_1}F^{b_1a_3b_2}F^{b_2a_4b_3}...F^{b_{n-2}a_{n-1}b_{n-1}}T^{b_{n-1}} \tag{C.25}$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} T^{a_1}T^{a_2}T^{a_3}...T^{a_n} &= [(T^{a_1}T^{a_2}T^{a_3}...T^{a_{n-1}}T^{a_n})] \\ &= ([\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{N^{\frac{n-1}{2}}}\right)+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{N^{\frac{n-1}{2}-1}}\right)+...+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{N}\right) \\ &+ F^{a_1a_2b_1}F^{b_1a_3b_2}F^{b_2a_4b_3}...F^{b_{n-2}a_{n-1}b_{n-1}}T^{b_{n-1}}]T^{a_n}) \\ &= \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{N^{\frac{n-1}{2}}}\right)+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{N^{\frac{n-1}{2}-1}}\right)+...+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{N}\right) \\ &+ F^{a_1a_2b_1}F^{b_1a_3b_2}F^{b_2a_4b_3}...F^{b_{n-1}a_nb_n}T^{b_n} \end{aligned} \tag{C.26}$$

where in going from second to third line we used the special case $n = 2$ of the assertion .

Even n:

Assume that the assertion is true for $T^{a_1}T^{a_2}T^{a_3}...T^{a_{n-1}}$ i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} T^{a_1}T^{a_2}T^{a_3}...T^{a_{n-1}} = \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{N^{\frac{n-2}{2}}}\right)T^{a_{n-1}}+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{N^{\frac{n-1}{2}-1}}\right)+...+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{N}\right) \\ +F^{a_1a_2b_1}F^{b_1a_3b_2}F^{b_2a_4b_3}...F^{b_{n-2}a_{n-1}b_{n-1}}T^{b_{n-1}} \end{aligned} \tag{C.27}$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} T^{a_1}T^{a_2}T^{a_3}...T^{a_n} &= [(T^{a_1}T^{a_2}T^{a_3}...T^{a_{n-1}}T^{a_n})] \\ &= \left(\left[\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{N^{\frac{n-2}{2}}}\right)T^{a_{n-1}}+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{N^{\frac{n-1}{2}-1}}\right)+...\right.\right. \\ &+ \left.\left.\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{N}\right)+F^{a_1a_2b_1}F^{b_1a_3b_2}F^{b_2a_4b_3}...F^{b_{n-2}a_{n-1}b_{n-1}}T^{b_{n-1}}\right]T^{a_n}\right) \\ &= \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{N^{\frac{n}{2}}}\right)+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{N^{\frac{n}{2}-1}}\right)+...+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{N}\right) \\ &+ F^{a_1a_2b_1}F^{b_1a_3b_2}F^{b_2a_4b_3}...F^{b_{n-1}a_nb_n}T^{b_n} \end{aligned} \tag{C.28}$$

where in going from second to third line we again used the special case $n = 2$ of the assertion Q.E.D.

This shows that in the large N limit

$$\lim_{N\to\infty}(T^{a_1}T^{a_2}T^{a_3}...T^{a_n}) = F^{a_1a_2b_1}F^{b_1a_3b_2}F^{b_2a_4b_3}...F^{b_{n-1}a_nb_n}T^{b_n} \tag{C.29}$$

Now using this assertion we can see that

$$\begin{aligned} [\bar{\phi}T^{a_1}T^{a_2}T^{a_3}...T^{a_n}\phi\Lambda^{a_1}\Lambda^{a_2}\Lambda^{a_3}...\Lambda^{a_n}] &= \bar{\phi}T^{b_n}\phi[(F^{a_1a_2b_1}F^{b_1a_3b_2}F^{b_2a_4b_3}...F^{b_{n-2}a_{n-1}b_{n-1}} \\ &\quad F^{b_{n-1}a_nb_n}\Lambda^{a_1}\Lambda^{a_2}\Lambda^{a_3}...\Lambda^{a_n})] \end{aligned} \tag{C.30}$$

but $\bar{\phi}T^{b_n}\phi$ does not belong to the singlet sector, hence this term is subleasing in the large N-limit.

Next the expression

$$[\bar{\phi}T^{a_1}T^{a_2}T^{a_3}...T^{a_{n-m}}\phi\Lambda^{a_1}\Lambda^{a_2}\Lambda^{a_3}...\Lambda^{a_{n-m}}][\bar{\phi}T^{a_{n-m+1}}...T^{a_n}\phi\Lambda^{a_{n-m+1}}...\Lambda^{a_n}] \tag{C.31}$$

reduces in the limit $N \to \infty$ to

$$F^{a_1 a_2 b_1} ... F^{b_{n-m-1} a_{n-m} b_{n-m}} F^{a_{n-m+1} a_{n-m+2} b_{n-m+1}} ... F^{b_{n-1} a_n b_n} \bar{\phi} T^{b_{n-m}} \phi \bar{\phi} T^{b_n} \phi \qquad \text{(C.32)}$$

Using eq. $(C.10)$ it is easy to see that

$$F^{a_1 a_2 b_1} ... F^{b_{n-m-1} a_{n-m} b_{n-m}} F^{a_{n-m+1} a_{n-m+2} b_{n-m+1}} ... F^{b_{n-1} a_n b_n} \bar{\phi} T^{b_{n-m}} \phi \bar{\phi} T^{b_n} \phi$$
$$\sim \mathcal{O}\left(\tfrac{1}{N}\right) + \mathcal{O}\left(\tfrac{1}{N^2}\right) \qquad \text{(C.33)}$$

This shows that expressions of the form

$$\bar{\phi} T^{a_1} ... T^{a_n - l} \phi \bar{\phi} T^{a_{n-l+1}} ... T^{a_{n-m}} \phi \bar{\phi} T^{a_{n-m}} ... T^{a_n} \phi \qquad \text{(C.34)}$$

will be even more subleading in the large N limit.
The analysis of the fermionic terms similarly goes through.
Let us analyze $S[\Lambda]$

$$S[\Lambda] = -\int d^3x N^{ab} \mathcal{F}^a_{-+} \mathcal{F}^b_{-3} - \mathrm{Tr} \log \left(\frac{k}{4\pi} \delta^{ab} \partial_- - f^{ab}{}_c \Lambda^c_- \right) \qquad \text{(C.35)}$$

now suppressing the indices

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Tr} \log \left(\frac{k}{4\pi} \delta^{ab} \partial_- - f^{ab}{}_c \Lambda^c_- \right) &= \mathrm{Tr} \log \left(\frac{k}{4\pi} I \partial_- - f \Lambda_- \right) \\ &= \mathrm{Tr} \log \left(\frac{4\pi}{k\partial_-} \right) + \mathrm{Tr} \log \left(I - \frac{4\pi f \Lambda_-}{k \partial_-} \right) \end{aligned} \qquad \text{(C.36)}$$

and making a series expansion

$$\mathrm{Tr} \log \left(I - \frac{4\pi f \Lambda_-}{k\partial_-} \right) = -\mathrm{Tr} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n (-\frac{4\pi f \Lambda_-}{k\partial_-})^n}{n} \qquad \text{(C.37)}$$

We have analyzed terms of the form $f^n \Lambda^n / k^n$. For odd n, in the large N limit, their contribution vanishes. For even n one can explicitly demonstrate that the answer is only a function of $\lambda = N/k$. Therefore the $N \leftrightarrow k$ symmetry is preserved in this expansion.

D Diagramatic expansion of the effective actions upto $\mathcal{O}(\frac{1}{N})$

D.1 One Point amplitude

It is important to keep in mind that there is an overall factor of N for each vertex, because both and bosons and fermions are in the fundamental representations of $U(N)$. This is accounted for as an overall factor of N in the effective actions. Also the bosonic and fermonic propagators are large N exact.

Bosonic theory



$$= \quad \mathrm{Tr}(T^a)\frac{2\pi}{k}\int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3}\frac{d^3p_1}{(2\pi)^3}\frac{1}{p_1^2 p_-}(\mathcal{F}^a_{-3}(p)(2(p_1)_3+p_3)+\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{F}^a_{+-}(p)(2(p_1)_-+p_-)) \tag{D.1}$$

Fermionic theory



$$= \quad -\mathrm{Tr}(T^a)\frac{2\pi}{k}\int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3}\frac{d^3p_1}{(2\pi)^3}\frac{1}{p_1^2 p_-}(2\mathcal{F}^a_{-3}(p)(p_1)_3+2\mathcal{F}^a_{+-}(p)(p_1)_-) \tag{D.2}$$

These amplitudes for Feynman diagrams corresponding to one-point functions vanish due to symmetry properties of the integrands and the regularization scheme [12] we are using in which

$$\int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3}\frac{1}{p^2}=0 \tag{D.3}$$

Scaling with number of colors N

If the Lagrange multiplier field Λ^a and the Chern Simons gauge field A^a have the same large N scaling.
Under this scaling, the 1-point amplitudes for Λ^a are of $\mathcal{O}(\frac{1}{N})$.

D.2 Two Point amplitude

Bosonic theory

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{1}{2}\frac{(2\pi)^2}{k^2}\int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3}\frac{d^3p_1}{(2\pi)^3}\frac{1}{p_-^2}\frac{1}{(p_1+p)^2 p_1^2} \\
&\times \ \mathrm{Tr}\Bigg[\bigg(\mathcal{F}^b_{+-}(p)T^b\frac{1}{2}(2p_1+p)_- + \mathcal{F}^b_{-3}(p)T^b(2p_1+p)_3\bigg) \\
&\times \ \bigg(\mathcal{F}^a_{+-}(-p)T^a(p_1)_- + \mathcal{F}^a_{-3}(-p)T^a(2p_1)_3\bigg)\Bigg]
\end{aligned}
\tag{D.4}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{(2\pi)^2}{k^2}\int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3}\frac{d^3p_1}{(2\pi)^3}\frac{1}{p_-^2}\frac{1}{p_1^2} \\
&\times \ \mathrm{Tr}\Bigg[\bigg(\mathcal{F}^a_{-3}(p)\mathcal{F}^b_{-3}(-p)T^aT^b\bigg)\Bigg]
\end{aligned}
\tag{D.5}
$$

This tadpole diagram evaluates to zero.

Fermionic theory

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= -\frac{1}{2}\frac{(2\pi)^2}{k^2}\int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3}\frac{d^3p_1}{(2\pi)^3}\frac{1}{p_-^2}\frac{1}{(p_1+p)^2(p_1)^2}\mathrm{Tr}\Big[\Big(\mathcal{F}^b_{+-}(p)T^b\gamma_- + \mathcal{F}^b_{-3}(p)T^b\gamma_3\Big)\\
&\times \Big(-i(\gamma_-(p_1+p)_+(1-\lambda^2)+(p_1+p)_-\gamma_+ + (p_1+p)_3\gamma_3) + \lambda(2(p_1+p)_+(p_1+p)_-)^{\frac{1}{2}}\Big)\\
&\times \Big(\mathcal{F}^a_{+-}(-p)T^a\gamma_- + \mathcal{F}^a_{-3}(-p)T^a\gamma_3\Big)\\
&\times \Big(-i(\gamma_-(p_1)_+(1-\lambda^2)+(p_1)_-\gamma_+ + (p_1)_3\gamma_3) + \lambda(2(p_1)_+(p_1)_-)^{\frac{1}{2}}\Big)\Big]
\end{aligned}
\tag{D.6}
$$

Scaling with number of colors N

2-point amplitudes of Λ^a are of $\mathcal{O}(1)$.

D.3 Three Point amplitude

Bosonic Theory



$$
\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{1}{3}\frac{(2\pi)^3}{k^3}\int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3}\frac{d^3q}{(2\pi)^3}\frac{d^3p_1}{(2\pi)^3}\frac{1}{p_-q_-(-p-q)_-}\frac{1}{(p_1+p)^2(p_1+p+q)^2(p_1)^2}\\
&\times \mathrm{Tr}\Big[\Big(\mathcal{F}^b_{+-}(p)T^b\frac{1}{2}(2p_1+p)_- + \mathcal{F}^b_{-3}(p)T^b(2p_1+p)_3\Big)\\
&\times \Big(\mathcal{F}^c_{+-}(q)T^c\frac{1}{2}(2p_1+p+q)_- + \mathcal{F}^c_{-3}(q)T^c(2p_1+p+q)_3\Big)\\
&\times \Big(\mathcal{F}^a_{+-}(-p-q)T^a(p_1)_- + \mathcal{F}^a_{-3}(-p-q)T^a(2p_1)_3\Big)\Big]
\end{aligned}
\tag{D.7}
$$



$$
\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{1}{2}\frac{(2\pi)^3}{k^3}\int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3}\frac{d^3q}{(2\pi)^3}\frac{d^3p_1}{(2\pi)^3}\frac{1}{p_-q_-(-p-q)_-}\frac{1}{(p_1+p+q)^2(p_1)^2} \\
&\times \ \mathrm{Tr}\Bigg[\Bigg(\mathcal{F}^a_{-3}(p)\mathcal{F}^b_{-3}(q)T^aT^b\Bigg) \\
&\times \ \Bigg(\mathcal{F}^c_{+-}(-p-q)T^c\frac{1}{2}(2p_1+p+q)_- + \mathcal{F}^c_{-3}(-p-q)T^c(2p_1+p+q)_3\Bigg)\Bigg]
\end{aligned}
\tag{D.8}
$$

Fermionic Theory



$$
\begin{aligned}
&= -\frac{1}{3}\frac{(2\pi)^3}{k^3}\int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3}\frac{d^3q}{(2\pi)^3}\frac{d^3p_1}{(2\pi)^3}\frac{1}{p_-q_-(-p-q)_-}\frac{1}{(p_1+p)^2(p_1+p+q)^2(p_1)^2} \\
&\times \ \mathrm{Tr}\Bigg[\Bigg(\mathcal{F}^a_{+-}(p)T^a\gamma_- + \mathcal{F}^a_{-3}(p)T^a\gamma_3\Bigg) \\
&\times \ \Bigg(-i(\gamma_-(p_1+p)_+(1-\lambda^2) + (p_1+p)_-\gamma_+ + (p_1+p)_3\gamma_3) + \lambda(2(p_1+p)_+(p_1+p)_-)^{\frac{1}{2}}\Bigg) \\
&\times \ \Bigg(\mathcal{F}^b_{+-}(q)T^b\gamma_- + \mathcal{F}^b_{-3}(q)T^b\gamma_3\Bigg) \\
&\times \ \Bigg(-i(\gamma_-(p_1+p+q)_+(1-\lambda^2) + (p_1+p+q)_-\gamma_+ + (p_1+p+q)_3\gamma_3) \\
&+ \ \lambda(2(p_1+p+q)_+(p_1+p+q)_-)^{\frac{1}{2}}\Bigg) \\
&\times \ \Bigg(\mathcal{F}^c_{+-}(-p-q)T^c\gamma_- + \mathcal{F}^c_{-3}(-p-q)T^c\gamma_3\Bigg) \\
&\times \ \Bigg(-i(\gamma_-(p_1)_+(1-\lambda^2) + (p_1)_-\gamma_+ + (p_1)_3\gamma_3) + \lambda(2(p_1)_+(p_1)_-)^{\frac{1}{2}}\Bigg)\Bigg]
\end{aligned}
\tag{D.9}
$$

Scaling with number of colors N

3-point amplitudes are of $\mathcal{O}(\frac{1}{N})$. Note that $\mathrm{Tr}(T^aT^bT^c) = if^{abc} + d^{abc}$ and $\Lambda^a\Lambda^b\Lambda^c f^{abc}$ will of order less than $\frac{1}{N}$. Therefore in three point functions only $\Lambda^a\Lambda^b\Lambda^c d^{abc}$ will enter at $\mathcal{O}(\frac{1}{N})$.

D.4 Four Point amplitude

Bosonic Theory



$$
\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{1}{4}\frac{(2\pi)^4}{k^4}\int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3}\frac{d^3q}{(2\pi)^3}\frac{d^3s}{(2\pi)^3}\frac{d^3p_1}{(2\pi)^3}\frac{1}{p_-q_-s_-(-p-q-s)_-}\frac{1}{(p_1+p)^2(p_1+p+q)^2}\\
&\times \frac{1}{(p_1+p+q+s)^2(p_1)^2}\\
&\times \mathrm{Tr}\Bigg[\left(\mathcal{F}^b_{+-}(p)T^b\frac{1}{2}(2p_1+p)_- + \mathcal{F}^b_{-3}(p)T^b(2p_1+p)_3\right)\\
&\times \left(\mathcal{F}^b_{+-}(q)T^b\frac{1}{2}(2p_1+p+q)_- + \mathcal{F}^b_{-3}(q)T^b(2p_1+p+q)_3\right)\\
&\times \left(\mathcal{F}^b_{+-}(s)T^b\frac{1}{2}(2p_1+p+q+s)_- + \mathcal{F}^b_{-3}(q)T^b(2p_1+p+q+s)_3\right)\\
&\times \left(\mathcal{F}^a_{+-}(-p-q-s)T^a(p_1)_- + \mathcal{F}^a_{-3}(-p-q-s)T^a(2p_1)_3\right)\Bigg]
\end{aligned}
\tag{D.10}
$$



$$
\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{1}{3}\frac{(2\pi)^4}{k^4}\int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3}\frac{d^3q}{(2\pi)^3}\frac{d^3s}{(2\pi)^3}\frac{d^3p_1}{(2\pi)^3}\frac{1}{p_-q_-s_-(-p-q-s)_-}\frac{1}{(p_1+p+q)^2} \\
&\times \frac{1}{(p_1+p+q+s)^2(p_1)^2} \\
&\times \mathrm{Tr}\Bigg[\Bigg(\mathcal{F}^a_{-3}(p)\mathcal{F}^b_{-3}(q)T^aT^b\Bigg) \\
&\times \Bigg(\mathcal{F}^c_{+-}(s)T^c\frac{1}{2}(2p_1+p+q+s)_- + \mathcal{F}^c_{-3}(q)T^c(2p_1+p+q+s)_3\Bigg) \\
&\times \Bigg(\mathcal{F}^d_{+-}(-p-q-s)T^d(p_1)_- + \mathcal{F}^d_{-3}(-p-q-s)T^d(2p_1)_3\Bigg)\Bigg]
\end{aligned}
\tag{D.11}
$$



$$
\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{1}{2}\frac{(2\pi)^4}{k^4}\int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3}\frac{d^3q}{(2\pi)^3}\frac{d^3s}{(2\pi)^3}\frac{d^3p_1}{(2\pi)^3}\frac{1}{p_-q_-s_-(-p-q-s)_-}\frac{1}{(p_1+s)^2} \\
&\times \frac{1}{(p_1+p+q+s)^2} \\
&\times \mathrm{Tr}\Bigg[\Bigg(\mathcal{F}^c_{-3}(p)\mathcal{F}^d_{-3}(q)T^cT^d\Bigg) \\
&\times \Bigg(\mathcal{F}^a_{-3}(s)\mathcal{F}^b_{-3}(-p-q-s)T^aT^b\Bigg)\Bigg]
\end{aligned}
\tag{D.12}
$$

Fermionic Theory



$$
\begin{aligned}
&= -\frac{1}{4}\frac{(2\pi)^4}{k^4}\int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3}\frac{d^3q}{(2\pi)^3}\frac{d^3s}{(2\pi)^3}\frac{d^3p_1}{(2\pi)^3}\frac{1}{p_-q_-s_-(-p-q-s)_-}\frac{1}{(p_1+p)^2(p_1+p+q)^2}\\
&\times \frac{1}{(p_1+p+q+s)^2(p_1)^2}\mathrm{Tr}\Bigg[\Bigg(\mathcal{F}^a_{+-}(p)T^a\gamma_- + \mathcal{F}^a_{-3}(p)T^a\gamma_3\Bigg)\\
&\times \Bigg(-i(\gamma_-(p_1+p)_+(1-\lambda^2)+(p_1+p)_-\gamma_+ + (p_1+p)_3\gamma_3) + \lambda(2(p_1+p)_+(p_1+p)_-)^{\frac{1}{2}}\Bigg)\\
&\times \Bigg(\mathcal{F}^b_{+-}(q)T^b\gamma_- + \mathcal{F}^b_{-3}(q)T^b\gamma_3\Bigg)\\
&\times \Bigg(-i(\gamma_-(p_1+p+q)_+(1-\lambda^2)+(p_1+p+q)_-\gamma_+ + (p_1+p+q)_3\gamma_3)\\
&+ \lambda(2(p_1+p+q)_+(p_1+p+q)_-)^{\frac{1}{2}}\Bigg)\\
&\times \Bigg(\mathcal{F}^c_{+-}(s)T^c\gamma_- + \mathcal{F}^c_{-3}(s)T^c\gamma_3\Bigg)\\
&\times \Bigg(-i(\gamma_-(p_1+p+q+s)_+(1-\lambda^2)+(p_1+p+q+s)_-\gamma_+ + (p_1+p+q+s)_3\gamma_3)\\
&+ \lambda(2(p_1+p+q+s)_+(p_1+p+q+s)_-)^{\frac{1}{2}}\Bigg)\\
&\times \Bigg(\mathcal{F}^d_{+-}(-p-q-s)T^d\gamma_- + \mathcal{F}^d_{-3}(-p-q-s)T^d\gamma_3\Bigg)\\
&\times \Bigg(-i(\gamma_-(p_1)_+(1-\lambda^2)+(p_1)_-\gamma_+ + (p_1)_3\gamma_3) + \lambda(2(p_1)_+(p_1)_-)^{\frac{1}{2}}\Bigg)\Bigg]
\end{aligned}
\tag{D.13}
$$

Scaling with number of colors N

4-point amplitudes are also of $\mathcal{O}(\frac{1}{N})$.

References

[1] S. R. Coleman, *The Quantum Sine-Gordon Equation as the Massive Thirring Model*, *Phys.Rev.* **D11** (1975) 2088.

[2] S. Mandelstam, *Soliton Operators for the Quantized Sine-Gordon Equation*, *Phys.Rev.* **D11** (1975) 3026.

[3] E. Witten, *Nonabelian Bosonization in Two-Dimensions*, *Commun.Math.Phys.* **92** (1984) 455–472.

[4] C. Burgess and F. Quevedo, *Bosonization as duality*, *Nucl.Phys.* **B421** (1994) 373–390, [hep-th/9401105].

[5] C. Burgess and F. Quevedo, *NonAbelian bosonization as duality*, *Phys.Lett.* **B329** (1994) 457–462, [hep-th/9403173].

[6] C. Burgess, C. Lutken, and F. Quevedo, *Bosonization in higher dimensions*, *Phys.Lett.* **B336** (1994) 18–24, [hep-th/9407078].

[7] E. H. Fradkin and F. A. Schaposnik, *The Fermion - boson mapping in three-dimensional quantum field theory*, *Phys.Lett.* **B338** (1994) 253–258, [hep-th/9407182].

[8] S. Giombi and X. Yin, *The Higher Spin/Vector Model Duality*, arXiv:1208.4036.

[9] O. Aharony, G. Gur-Ari, and R. Yacoby, *d=3 Bosonic Vector Models Coupled to Chern-Simons Gauge Theories*, *JHEP* **1203** (2012) 037, [arXiv:1110.4382].

[10] O. Aharony, G. Gur-Ari, and R. Yacoby, *Correlation Functions of Large N Chern-Simons-Matter Theories and Bosonization in Three Dimensions*, *JHEP* **1212** (2012) 028, [arXiv:1207.4593].

[11] O. Aharony, S. Giombi, G. Gur-Ari, J. Maldacena, and R. Yacoby, *The Thermal Free Energy in Large N Chern-Simons-Matter Theories*, arXiv:1211.4843.

[12] S. Giombi, S. Minwalla, S. Prakash, S. P. Trivedi, S. R. Wadia, *et. al.*, *Chern-Simons Theory with Vector Fermion Matter*, *Eur.Phys.J.* **C72** (2012) 2112, [arXiv:1110.4386].

[13] C.-M. Chang, S. Minwalla, T. Sharma, and X. Yin, *ABJ Triality: from Higher Spin Fields to Strings*, arXiv:1207.4485.

[14] S. Jain, S. P. Trivedi, S. R. Wadia, and S. Yokoyama, *Supersymmetric Chern-Simons Theories with Vector Matter*, *JHEP* **1210** (2012) 194, [arXiv:1207.4750].

[15] G. Gur-Ari and R. Yacoby, *Correlators of Large N Fermionic Chern-Simons Vector Models*, *JHEP* **1302** (2013) 150, [arXiv:1211.1866].

[16] S. Jain, S. Minwalla, and S. Yokoyama, *Chern Simons duality with a fundamental boson and fermion*, *JHEP* **11** (2013) 037, [arXiv:1305.7235].

[17] Y. Frishman and J. Sonnenschein, *Breaking conformal invariance - Large N Chern-Simons theory coupled to massive fundamental fermions*, *JHEP* **1312** (2013) 091, [arXiv:1306.6465].

[18] W. A. Bardeen and M. Moshe, *Spontaneous breaking of scale invariance in a D=3 U(N) model with Chern-Simons gauge fields*, *JHEP* **1406** (2014) 113, [arXiv:1402.4196].

[19] W. A. Bardeen, *The Massive Fermion Phase for the U(N) Chern-Simons Gauge Theory in D=3 at Large N*, *JHEP* **1410** (2014) 39, [arXiv:1404.7477].

[20] Y. Frishman and J. Sonnenschein, *Large N Chern-Simons with massive fundamental fermions - A model with no bound states*, *JHEP* **12** (2014) 165, [arXiv:1409.6083].

[21] M. Moshe and J. Zinn-Justin, *3D Field Theories with Chern–Simons Term for Large N in the Weyl Gauge*, *JHEP* **1501** (2015) 054, [arXiv:1410.0558].

[22] E. Alvarez, L. Alvarez-Gaume, J. Barbon, and Y. Lozano, *Some global aspects of duality in string theory*, *Nucl.Phys.* **B415** (1994) 71–100, [hep-th/9309039].

[23] E. Moreno and F. Schaposnik, *Dualities and bosonization of massless fermions in three dimensional space-time*, *Phys.Rev.* **D88** (2013), no. 2 025033, [arXiv:1303.6488].

[24] A. Redlich, *Gauge Noninvariance and Parity Violation of Three-Dimensional Fermions*, *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **52** (1984) 18.

[25] A. Redlich, *Parity Violation and Gauge Noninvariance of the Effective Gauge Field Action in Three-Dimensions*, *Phys.Rev.* **D29** (1984) 2366–2374.

[26] A. Chan, T. L. Hughes, S. Ryu, and E. Fradkin, *Effective field theories for topological insulators by functional bosonization*, *PRB* **87** (Feb., 2013) 085132, [arXiv:1210.4305].

[27] N. Bralic, E. H. Fradkin, V. Manias, and F. A. Schaposnik, *Bosonization of three-dimensional nonAbelian fermion field theories*, *Nucl.Phys.* **B446** (1995) 144–158, [hep-th/9502066].

[28] I. Klebanov and A. Polyakov, *AdS dual of the critical O(N) vector model*, *Phys.Lett.* **B550** (2002) 213–219, [hep-th/0210114].

[29] E. Sezgin and P. Sundell, *Massless higher spins and holography*, *Nucl.Phys.* **B644** (2002) 303–370, [hep-th/0205131].

[30] G. V. Dunne, *Aspects of Chern-Simons theory*, hep-th/9902115.

[31] R. d. M. Koch, A. Jevicki, K. Jin, and J. P. Rodrigues, *AdS_4/CFT_3 Construction from Collective Fields*, *Phys.Rev.* **D83** (2011) 025006, [arXiv:1008.0633].

[32] M. Rocek and E. P. Verlinde, *Duality, quotients, and currents*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B373** (1992) 630–646, [hep-th/9110053].

[33] V. P. Nair, *The Hamiltonian Approach to Yang-Mills (2+1): An Update and Corrections to String Tension*, *J. Phys. Conf. Ser.* **462** (2013), no. 1 012039.

[34] X. C. de la Ossa and F. Quevedo, *Duality symmetries from nonAbelian isometries in string theory*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B403** (1993) 377–394, [hep-th/9210021].

[35] Y. Lozano, E. O Colgain, K. Sfetsos, and D. C. Thompson, *Non-abelian T-duality, Ramond Fields and Coset Geometries*, *JHEP* **06** (2011) 106, [arXiv:1104.5196].